CURRICULUM ASSESSMENT

AUTHOR: TSHINGOMBE TSHITADI FISTON

Title: Curriculum Engineering: Organisation, Orientation, and Management

Abstract / Scope: This program provides a comprehensive framework for curriculum engineering, focusing on organisational orientation, management systems, lesson planning, and career assessment. It integrates principles of research methodology, audit processes, and information system investigation to ensure compliance, traceability, and innovation in educational design. Learners will explore logical modelling tools such as logigrams and algorigram structures, while developing bibliographic and data analysis skills to support curriculum development and career pathways.

Description: processes, and information system investigation to ensure compliance, traceability, and innovation in educational design. Learners will explore logical modelling tools such as logigrams and algorigram structures, while developing bibliographic and data analysis skills to support curriculum development and career pathways

Keywords

Curriculum engineering, organisation orientation, lesson plan, career assessment, data analysis, management systems, audit, research methodology, logigram, algorigram, bibliographic references.

Statement of Purpose

To equip educators, curriculum developers, and organisational managers with the tools and principles necessary to design, implement, and evaluate curriculum frameworks that align with career pathways, compliance standards, and institutional goals.

Data Analysis

- **Inputs:** learner needs, industry requirements, regulatory standards.
- **Processes:** curriculum mapping, competency alignment, career assessment.
- **Outputs:** structured lesson plans, compliance-ready frameworks, career pathways documentation.

Advantages

- Provides structured, traceable curriculum frameworks.
- Enhances career alignment and employability.
- Integrates compliance and audit readiness.
- Supports innovation through logical modelling and data analysis.

Disadvantages

- Requires significant resource investment.
- Complexity in aligning multiple standards and frameworks.
- High demand for continuous updates and audits.

Management System Information Investigation Principles

- Transparency in curriculum design.
- Traceability of career assessment outcomes.
- Integration of IT systems for documentation and compliance.
- Continuous monitoring and evaluation.

Research Methodology and Audit

- **Principles:** validity, reliability, compliance.
- **Methods:** qualitative (interviews, focus groups), quantitative (surveys, statistical analysis).
- **Audit:** systematic review of curriculum frameworks, lesson plans, and career assessment tools.

Logigram and Algorigram Application

- **Logigram:** visual representation of curriculum processes, showing decision points and compliance pathways.
- **Algorigram:** step-by-step algorithmic flow for lesson planning, career assessment, and audit procedures.

Bibliographic References (examples for structuring)

- Berners-Lee, T. *Information Management: A Proposal.* CERN, 1989.
- SAQA. *National Qualifications Framework Policy.* South African Qualifications Authority.
- ISO/IEC Standards for Educational Documentation and Compliance.
- De Lorenzo S.p.A. *Technical Education Systems and Curriculum Engineering.*

This structure can be expanded into a **lesson plan and career assessment framework** with detailed logigram/algorigram diagrams, audit sheets, and bibliographic references. I can also prepare **assessment questions with model answers** (e.g., curriculum mapping exercises, audit

simulations, or logigram design tasks) to make this a complete training package. Would you like me to build those next?

Table of Contents

📓 **Course Lesson Plan: SQA Qualification Development Toolkit** 14

Lesson Title 14

Learning Objectives 14

Lesson Structure 14

Assessment 15

Resources 15

📝 **Assessment Questions & Answers** 15

Section 1: Introduction 15

Section 2: Development Cycle 16

Section 3: Types of Developments 16

Section 4: Development Phases 16

Section 5: Validation 16

Section 6: Operationalise & Promote 16

Section 7: Maintain & Review 17

📓 **Course Lesson Plan: Process-Oriented SQA at Source Code Level** 17

Course Title 17

Core Overview 17

Lesson Topics 17

Learning Objectives 18

Assessment 19

Section 1: Fundamentals 19

Section 2: Coding Standards 19

Section 3: Code Review 19

Section 4: Static Analysis 20

Section 5: Pedagogical Model 20

Section 6: Future Work 20

📓 **Course Title** 21

Course Overview 21
Lesson Plan Topics 21
Core Units 21
Assessment Preparation 21
Past Papers (2022–2025) 21
Marking Instructions 22
Learning Objectives 22
Suggested Teaching Schedule (12 Weeks) 22
📝 **Sample Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks** 22
Q1. Electrical Engineering Principles (6 marks) 23
Q2. Mechanical Engineering Principles (8 marks) 23
Q3. Control Systems (10 marks) 23
Q4. Engineering Quality & Safety (6 marks) 23
✅ **Marking Breakdown** 24
📘 **Course Title** 24
Course Overview 24
Lesson Plan Topics 24
1. Introduction to SQA Transformation 24
2. Stakeholder Engagement 24
3. Qualification Credibility 24
4. Assessment Roles and Responsibilities 24
5. Challenges in Marker Recruitment and Retention 25
6. Future Directions 25
Learning Objectives 25
Assessment 25
📝 **Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks** 26
Q1. Stakeholder Engagement (6 marks) 26
Q2. Qualification Credibility (8 marks) 26
Q3. Parents and Carers (6 marks) 26
Q4. Depute Principal Assessor Role (10 marks) 26
Q5. Future Directions (10 marks) 26
✅ **Marking Breakdown** 27
📘 **Course Title** 27

Course Overview 27
Lesson Plan Topics 27
1. Introduction to Marker Roles 27
2. Research Findings (2025 Survey) 27
3. Recruitment and Retention Issues 28
4. Action Plan for Improvement 28
5. Broader Implications 28
Learning Objectives 28
Assessment 28
📝 **Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks** 28
Q1. Marker Recruitment Challenges (6 marks) 28
Q2. Benefits of Marking (6 marks) 29
Q3. Action Plan for Improvement (10 marks) 29
Q4. Comparison with Other Awarding Bodies (6 marks) 29
Q5. Strategic Implications (12 marks) 29
✅ **Marking Breakdown** 30
📘 **Course Title** 30
Course Overview 30
Lesson Plan Topics 30
1. Introduction to Engineering Science 30
2. Core Concepts 30
3. Applied Mathematics in Engineering 30
4. Mechanical Engineering Principles 30
5. Electrical & Electronic Engineering 31
6. Systems & Control 31
7. Engineering Quality & Safety 31
8. Assessment Preparation 31
Learning Objectives 31
Assessment 31
📝 **Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks** 32
Q1. Energy & Efficiency (6 marks) 32
Q2. Mechanical Engineering Principles (8 marks) 32
Part 1: SQA Qualification Development Framework 32

1.1 The Development Cycle 32
1.2 Development Classifications 33
1.3 Work-Based Learning Model 33
Part 2: SQA at Source Code Level (Academic Research) 33
2.1 The "Two Buses, One Thread" Model 33
2.2 Key Findings on Coding Standards 33
2.3 Peer Code Review (PCR) Challenges 34
Summary Comparison 34
Gemini said 34
Part 1: SQA Qualification Development Framework 35
1.1 The Development Cycle 35
1.2 Development Classifications 35
1.3 Work-Based Learning Model 35
Part 2: SQA at Source Code Level (Academic Research) 35
2.1 The "Two Buses, One Thread" Model 36
2.2 Key Findings on Coding Standards 36
2.3 Peer Code Review (PCR) Challenges 36
Summary Comparison 36
Part 1: SQA Qualification Development Framework 37
1.1 The Qualification Development Cycle 37
1.2 Types of Development 37
1.3 Work-Based Learning Model 37
Part 2: Research on SQA at Source Code Level 38
2.1 The "Two Buses, One Thread" Model 38
2.2 Evaluation of Coding Standards 38
2.3 Peer Code Review (PCR) Refinement 38
Summary Comparison 39
Course Description 39
Content Summary 39
Lesson Plan (Sample Module) 40
Topics & Outcomes 40
Analysis 40
Sample Assessment Questions & Answers 41

Section 1: Introduction to Graded Units 41
Section 2: Delivery Strategies 41
Section 3: Marking and Assessment 41
Section 4: Quality Assurance 42
Section 5: Feedback and Reflection 42
Summative Project Question 42
Course Title 43
Course Description 43
Content Summary 43
Lesson Plan (Sample Module) 43
Topics & Outcomes 44
Analysis 44
Assessment Questions & Model Answers 44
Section 1: Introduction to Virtual Work Experience 45
Section 2: Digital Workplace Skills 45
Section 3: Professional Practice 45
Section 4: Project Work 45
Section 5: Reflection and Career Development 45
Summative Project Question 46
Course Title 46
Course Description 46
Content Summary 46
Lesson Plan (Sample Module) 47
Topics & Outcomes 47
Analysis 48
Assessment Questions & Model Answers 48
Section 1: Foundations of Internal Assessment 48
Section 2: Quality Assurance Principles 48
Section 3: Awarding Procedures (2017 Framework) 48
Section 4: Holistic Judgement 49
Section 5: Awarding Meetings 49
Summative Case Study Question 49

Course Title 50
Course Summary 50
Mandatory Units 50
Key Features 50
Topics & Outcomes 50
Teaching and Learning Approaches 51
Analysis 51
Assessment & Exam Guidance 51
Support Systems & Services 51
Progression Opportunities 52
Assessment Questions & Model Answers 52
Section 1: Introduction to Awards 52
Section 2: Qualification Structure 52
Section 3: Teaching & Learning Approaches 52
Section 4: Assessment & Exam Guidance 53
Section 5: Quality Assurance 53
Section 6: Employability Skills 53
Section 7: Centre Approval & Accessibility 53
Section 8: Research & Development / Technical Applications 53
Summative Case Study Question 54
Course Title 54
Course Introduction 54
Scope and Framework 54
Key Topics & Outcomes 55
Teaching and Learning Approaches 55
Analysis 55
Course Highlights 55
Support for Centres 56
Assessment Questions & Model Answers 56
Section 1: Advanced Mathematics in Electrical Engineering 56
Section 2: Control Systems & Transducers 56

Section 3: High-Level Engineering Software (HP41/47, MATLAB) 56
Section 4: Reasoning Framework in Assessments 57
Section 5: Practical Delivery & Assessment 57
Section 6: IT Integration in Electrical Engineering 57
Section 7: Career Implications 57
Summative Case Study Question 58
Course Title 58
Course Description 58
Key Content Summary 58
Lesson Plan (Sample Module) 59
Topics & Outcomes 59
Analysis 59
Assessment Questions & Model Answers 60
Section 1: Control Systems 60
Section 2: Transducers 60
Section 3: High-Level Engineering Software (HP41/47, MATLAB) 60
Section 4: Delivery Techniques 61
Section 5: Applied Problem-Solving 61
Section 6: Case Study Question 61
Course Title 62
Course Description 62
CPD Topics 62
Key Outcomes 62
Lesson Plan (Sample Module) 62
Analysis 63
Sample Assessment Questions & Answers 63
Extended Assessment Questions & Model Answers 64
Section 1: Core Electrical Formulas 64
Section 2: Calculus Applications in Electrical Engineering 64
Section 3: Advanced Applications 64
1. Computing Science (National 5 & Higher) 64
2. Engineering Science (National 5 & Higher) 64

3. Other Specialized Sciences 65
Quick Comparison: Which one should you pick? 65
Gemini said 65
Section 1: Core Concepts (35 Marks) 65
Q1: Project Management (Activity Networks) 65
Q2: Mechanics (Shear Force Diagram) 66
Q3: Energy (CHP Efficiency) 66
Section 2: Advanced Analysis (40 Marks) 66
Q9(f): Electronics (Nodal Analysis - Schmitt Trigger) 66
Q10(a) & (b): Structures (Second Moment of Area & Stress) 66
Quick Revision Summary Table 66
Gemini said 67
1. Structural Mechanics & Materials 67
2. Electronics & Control 67
3. Energy & Power Systems 68
4. Project Management & Software 68
Section 1: Selected Solutions 68
Question 3: CHP Plant Efficiency 68
Question 5: DAC Circuit 69
Question 6: Wien Bridge Oscillator 69
Section 2: Mechanical & Electronics 69
Question 10(a): Second Moment of Area (Ixx) 69
Question 10(g): ADC and Duty Cycle 69
Section 1: Selected Solutions 70
Question 3: CHP Plant Efficiency 70
Question 5: DAC Circuit 70
Question 6: Wien Bridge Oscillator 70
Section 2: Mechanical & Electronics 70
Question 10(a): Second Moment of Area (Ixx) 70
Question 10(g): ADC and Duty Cycle 71

📘 Course Lesson Plan: SQA Qualification Development Toolkit

Lesson Title

Understanding the SQA Qualification Development Cycle

Learning Objectives

By the end of this lesson, learners will be able to:

- Explain the stages of the SQA qualification development cycle.
- Differentiate between national and local developments.
- Describe the roles of centres with and without devolved authority.
- Apply the toolkit forms and templates appropriately.
- Recognize the importance of stakeholder consultation and validation.

Lesson Structure

1. Introduction (15 min)

- Overview of SQA qualifications (AWDs, NCs, NPAs, HNCs, HNDs, PDAs).
- Purpose of the toolkit: consistency, resource efficiency, and avoiding duplication.
- Key principle: keeping SQA informed throughout development.

2. SQA Qualification Development Cycle (30 min)

- Stages: Gateway → Develop → Validate → Operationalise → Promote → Maintain → Review.
- Activity: Learners map each stage to real-world qualification examples.

3. Types of Developments (20 min)

- National Development (SQA managed).
- Local Development (centre managed).
- Case study discussion: When to choose national vs local development.

4. Develop Phase (40 min)

- Phase 1: Establishing content and structure.
- Phase 2: Unit development and validation.
- Phase 3: Assessment Support Packs (ASPs).

- Phase 4: Group Award specification.
- Activity: Role-play as a Qualification Design Team (QDT) drafting a unit.

5. Validation Process (30 min)

- Role of validation hosts and panels.
- Preparing for validation meetings.
- Outcomes and finalisation.
- Activity: Mock validation panel exercise.

6. Operationalise & Promote (20 min)

- Inclusion in Awards Processing System (APS).
- Approval process and SAAS notification.
- Using catalogues and qualification search tools.

7. Maintain & Review (30 min)

- Managing changes to qualifications.
- Annual portfolio clear-up.
- Uptake Analysis Review (UAR).
- Activity: Learners propose a review plan for a qualification with low uptake.

Assessment

- Short quiz on the stages of the development cycle.
- Group project: Draft a mini qualification proposal using toolkit templates.
- Reflection: How does devolved authority change responsibilities?

Resources

- SQA Toolkit forms (submission vs internal use).
- Guide to Scottish Qualifications.
- Case studies of National and Local developments.

Would you like me to **expand this into a full teaching schedule** (e.g., multi-week course with readings, assignments, and assessments), or keep it as a **single-session workshop plan**?

📝 Assessment Questions & Answers

Section 1: Introduction

Q1. What is the main purpose of the SQA Qualification Development Toolkit? **A1.** To provide centres with guidance, resources, and templates for developing and validating qualifications (AWDs, NCs, NPAs, HNCs, HNDs, PDAs) using a consistent methodology.

Q2. What are the two categories of forms included in the toolkit? **A2.**

- Forms to submit to SQA (with the SQA logo, layout unchanged).
- Forms to use as tools (adaptable for centre needs, with space for centre logo).

Section 2: Development Cycle

Q3. List the seven stages of the SQA qualification development cycle. **A3.** Gateway → Develop → Validate → Operationalise → Promote → Maintain → Review.

Q4. Why must centres establish the need for a qualification before development? **A4.** To ensure qualifications are inclusive, meet progression needs, and reflect Scotland's economic, social, political, cultural, and environmental priorities.

Section 3: Types of Developments

Q5. What are the two revised classifications of developments after college regionalisation? **A5.** National Development (SQA managed) and Local Development (centre managed).

Q6. Who manages validation of Units in both National and Local developments? **A6.** SQA retains ownership of Unit validation in both cases.

Section 4: Development Phases

Q7. What is the role of a Qualification Design Team (QDT)? **A7.** To establish content, structure, and support materials for a qualification, ensuring alignment with design principles and core skills.

Q8. What is produced in Phase 3 of development? **A8.** Assessment Support Packs (ASPs).

Section 5: Validation

Q9. What is the role of the Validation Panel? **A9.** To review proposed qualifications, ensure standards are met, and decide whether validation should be granted.

Q10. What happens after Units are validated? **A10.** Centres follow Group Award validation processes to finalise the qualification specification.

Section 6: Operationalise & Promote

Q11. What system includes validated qualifications for processing? **A11.** The Awards Processing System (APS).

Q12. Name one catalogue used to promote qualifications. **A12.** Examples: NQ Catalogue, HN Catalogue, SQA Accredited Catalogue, International Catalogue.

Section 7: Maintain & Review

Q13. What is the purpose of the Uptake Analysis Review (UAR)? **A13.** To evaluate courses and Group Awards based on uptake and inform decisions about continuation or withdrawal.

Q14. What happens during the annual portfolio clear-up? **A14.** Withdrawal of National and Higher National Units with no uptake that are not part of any Group Award.

✅ This set can be used as a **quiz or exam practice** for learners studying qualification development.

Would you like me to also create a **multiple-choice version** of these questions for easier classroom use?

You said

Process-oriented SQA has become very popular..txt

Plain Text

title course lesson plan topics core overview

Copilot said

Here's a structured **course lesson plan** based on the document you uploaded about *process-oriented Software Quality Assurance (SQA@Source)*:

📓 Course Lesson Plan: Process-Oriented SQA at Source Code Level

Course Title

Software Quality Assurance at Source Code Level

Core Overview

This course introduces learners to process-oriented SQA techniques, focusing on coding standards, peer code review (PCR), and static analysis. It emphasizes pedagogical models that integrate these practices into software engineering education, preparing students to produce reliable, maintainable, and secure code.

Lesson Topics

1. Introduction to SQA@Source

- Definition of process-oriented SQA.
- Importance of assuring quality at the source code level.
- Comparison with testing-based SQA.

2. Coding Standards

- Role of coding standards in software quality.
- Simplified coding standards (naming, layout, comments, coding).
- Evaluation index system (AHP-based).
- Case study: compliance measurement among students.
- Tools: IBM, Microsoft standards; FindBugs, PMD, Checkstyle.

3. Code Review

- Types: self-review, peer review, tutor review.
- Refined PCR process (roles: author, reviewer, reviser, instructor).
- Case study: behavior analysis of students in PCR.
- Issues: conspiracy problems, reviewer responsibility, instructor workload.
- Solutions: grouping strategies, double-blind review, game theory modeling.

4. Static Analysis

- Definition and role in SQA.
- Tools and techniques (buffer overflow prevention, exception management).
- Integration with code review before unit testing.

5. Pedagogical Model of SQA@Source

- Two quality buses: coding standards & code optimization.
- One thread: code review across lifecycle.
- Integration with static analysis and unit testing.
- Student-centered educational model (teachers, students, industry, evaluation platform).

6. Case Studies & Research Findings

- Quantitative analysis of student compliance with coding standards.
- Peer code review experiments and outcomes.
- Web-based MIS for blind review and automated quality assurance.

7. Future Directions

- Improving evaluation systems for coding standards.
- Enhancing comment quality and identifier naming.
- Embedding game theory and grouping strategies into MIS platforms.

Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, learners will be able to:

- Apply coding standards to improve code quality.
- Conduct effective self, peer, and tutor code reviews.
- Use static analysis tools to detect defects early.
- Understand and implement the pedagogical model of SQA@Source.
- Critically evaluate case studies and propose improvements in SQA education.

Assessment

- **Quiz:** Key concepts of coding standards, PCR, and static analysis.
- **Practical Assignment:** Students submit code for self, peer, and tutor review.
- **Case Study Analysis:** Evaluate compliance data and propose improvements.
- **Project:** Develop a mini MIS platform for peer code review with blind review mechanism.
- # 📝 Assessment Questions & Answers

Section 1: Fundamentals

Q1. What are the three main techniques used in process-oriented SQA at source code level? **A1.** Coding standards, code review, and static analysis.

Q2. Why is process-oriented SQA considered important compared to testing-based SQA? **A2.** Because testing alone cannot address all defects; most defects are introduced during design and coding, so assuring quality at source code level is more efficient and cost-effective.

Section 2: Coding Standards

Q3. What are the four simplified sections of coding standards introduced for students? **A3.** Naming, layout, comments, and coding.

Q4. What were the three main problems identified with coding standards in practice? **A4.**

1. Lack of a theoretical system for coding standards.
2. Few effective approaches to measure compliance.
3. No available evaluating website for self-assessment.

Q5. What was the outcome of the case study on student compliance with coding standards? **A5.** Most students scored between 60–70, showing limited compliance due to lack of instruction, feedback, and consistent training.

Section 3: Code Review

Q6. What are the three stages of code review in the pedagogical model? **A6.** Self code review, peer code review, and tutor code review.

Q7. What roles were defined in the refined peer code review (PCR) process? **A7.** Author, reviewer, reviser, and instructor.

Q8. What were some issues discovered in the PCR case study? **A8.** Conspiracy problems (authors reviewing their own code, private reviews, copying code), careless authors, irresponsible reviewers, and overburdened instructors.

Section 4: Static Analysis

Q9. When is static analysis performed in the pedagogical model? **A9.** After code review and before unit testing.

Q10. Name two techniques used in static analysis to improve software reliability. **A10.** Input processing and buffer overflow prevention (also exception management).

Section 5: Pedagogical Model

Q11. What are the two "quality buses" and one "thread" in the SQA@Source model? **A11.**

- Quality buses: Coding standards and code optimization.
- Thread: Code review.

Q12. What is the purpose of the student-centered educational model? **A12.** To involve teachers, students, industry, and evaluation platforms in an interactive system that improves learning outcomes on coding standards.

Section 6: Future Work

Q13. What are some suggested future research directions for coding standards? **A13.** Measuring identifier naming quality, measuring comment quality, and reconstructing a more feasible evaluation index system compatible with multiple coding standards (GNU, Java, ANSI, Linux, K&R).

Q14. How could peer code review be improved according to the research? **A14.** By introducing grouping strategies, double-blind review mechanisms, ranking policies, and integrating game theory models into web-based MIS platforms.

✅ This set can be used for **exam prep, quizzes, or discussion prompts** in a course on software engineering education.

Would you like me to also create a **multiple-choice version** of these questions for easier classroom testing?

:

📓 Course Title

Advanced Higher Engineering Science

Course Overview

This course develops advanced analytical and problem-solving skills in engineering science. Learners apply mathematical methods, scientific principles, and engineering concepts to real-world systems, preparing them for higher education or professional practice in engineering disciplines.

Lesson Plan Topics

Core Units

1. **Engineering Systems Analysis**
    - Mechanical, electrical, and electronic systems.
    - Energy transfer and efficiency.
    - Control systems.
2. **Applied Mathematics in Engineering**
    - Advanced calculus and algebra.
    - Mathematical modelling of engineering problems.
    - Use of matrices and vectors in system analysis.
3. **Electrical Engineering Principles**
    - AC/DC circuit analysis.
    - Three-phase systems.
    - Power electronics and motor drives.
4. **Mechanical Engineering Principles**
    - Stress, strain, and material properties.
    - Dynamics and kinematics.
    - Thermodynamics and fluid mechanics.
5. **Control and Instrumentation**
    - Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs).
    - Feedback and stability.
    - Sensors and measurement systems.
6. **Engineering Quality and Safety**
    - Inspection and testing.
    - Hazardous environments.
    - Quality assurance and standards.

Assessment Preparation

Past Papers (2022–2025)

- Learners practice with past papers to understand exam format and question styles.
- Focus areas:
    - Problem-solving with calculations.
    - Application of theory to practical scenarios.
    - Structured written responses.

Marking Instructions

- Review marking schemes to understand how marks are awarded.
- Emphasis on:
    - Showing full working in calculations.
    - Clear explanations of engineering principles.
    - Correct use of units and terminology.

Learning Objectives

By the end of the course, learners will:

- Apply advanced mathematical and scientific principles to engineering problems.
- Analyse and design electrical and mechanical systems.
- Demonstrate competence in control systems and instrumentation.
- Evaluate engineering solutions with respect to safety, quality, and efficiency.
- Prepare effectively for assessments using past papers and marking instructions.

Suggested Teaching Schedule (12 Weeks)

Week	Topic	Activities
1–2	Engineering Systems Analysis	Case studies, system modelling exercises
3–4	Applied Mathematics	Problem sets, modelling tasks
5–6	Electrical Engineering Principles	Lab experiments, circuit simulations
7–8	Mechanical Engineering Principles	Stress/strain labs, thermodynamics problems
9	Control & Instrumentation	PLC programming, sensor integration
10	Engineering Quality & Safety	Standards review, inspection practice
11	Exam Preparation	Past paper practice, peer marking
12	Review & Consolidation	Mock exam, feedback session

Would you like me to **map specific past paper questions (2022–2025)** to these topics so you can see exactly which exam questions align with each unit? That way, learners can practice topic-focused exam preparation.

📝 Sample Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks

Q1. Electrical Engineering Principles (6 marks)

A three-phase induction motor is supplied with a line voltage of 400 V. (a) Calculate the phase voltage. (2 marks) (b) Explain why three-phase motors are preferred over single-phase motors in industrial applications. (4 marks)

Answer: (a) Vphase=4003=231 V (2 marks) (b) Three-phase motors provide smoother torque, higher efficiency, and better power factor. They are more reliable and suitable for heavy loads compared to single-phase motors. (4 marks)

Q2. Mechanical Engineering Principles (8 marks)

A steel rod of length 2 m and cross-sectional area 400 mm² is subjected to a tensile force of 40 kN. (a) Calculate the stress in the rod. (3 marks) (b) If the Young's modulus of steel is 2.1×1011 Pa, calculate the extension of the rod. (5 marks)

Answer: (a) Stress = FA=40,000400×10−6=100 MPa (3 marks) (b) Strain = σE=100×1062.1×1011=4.76×10−4 Extension = Strain × Length = 4.76×10−4×2=0.95 mm (5 marks)

Q3. Control Systems (10 marks)

A feedback control system has an open-loop transfer function:

G(s)=10s(s+2)

(a) Sketch the block diagram of the closed-loop system. (3 marks) (b) Determine the steady-state error for a unit step input. (7 marks)

Answer: (a) Block diagram: Input → Summing junction → G(s) → Output, with feedback loop. (3 marks) (b) Steady-state error for unit step:

ess=11+Kp,Kp=limf_0s→0G(s)=100·(0+2)=∞

Thus, ess=0. (7 marks)

Q4. Engineering Quality & Safety (6 marks)

Explain the importance of inspection and testing of low-voltage electrical installations.

Answer:

- Ensures compliance with safety standards. (2 marks)
- Prevents electrical hazards such as shocks and fires. (2 marks)
- Confirms reliability and performance of installations. (2 marks)

✅ Marking Breakdown

- Short calculation questions: 2–3 marks each step.
- Extended explanation questions: 4–6 marks depending on depth.
- Multi-part applied problems: 8–10 marks with clear allocation for each stage.

📘 Course Title

Educational Assessment and Quality Assurance in Scotland

Course Overview

This course explores the Scottish Qualifications Authority's (SQA) transformation journey, focusing on stakeholder engagement, qualification credibility, and the role of assessors. Learners will examine how national qualifications are developed, assessed, and quality assured, while considering the challenges of recruitment, retention, and communication in the education system.

Lesson Plan Topics

1. Introduction to SQA Transformation

- Prospectus for Change and 2027 targets.
- Importance of credibility, consultation, and communication.
- Annual research and evidence-based decision making.

2. Stakeholder Engagement

- Classroom teachers: improved engagement and satisfaction.
- School leaders: rebuilding trust and strengthening relationships.
- Learners: credibility and satisfaction improvements.
- Parents/carers: challenges in consultation and engagement.

3. Qualification Credibility

- Improvements in Highers, Advanced Highers, and National 5s.
- Significant gains in National 3 and National 4 credibility.
- Why credibility is central to national awarding bodies.

4. Assessment Roles and Responsibilities

- Role of Principal Assessors and Depute Principal Assessors.
- Duties: item specification, marking programmes, quality assurance.
- Key Performance Measures (KPMs).

- Professional skills required: communication, leadership, time management.

5. Challenges in Marker Recruitment and Retention

- Issues: low pay, workload, unclear standards, online marking.
- Benefits: networking, understanding national standards.
- Suggested improvements: pay increases, training, consistent processes.

6. Future Directions

- Resetting relationships with stakeholders.
- Harnessing technology for efficient delivery.
- Modernising assessments to reflect societal and economic changes.

Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, learners will be able to:

- Analyse SQA's progress in stakeholder engagement and qualification credibility.
- Evaluate the role of assessors in ensuring quality and fairness.
- Identify challenges in recruitment and retention of markers.
- Propose strategies for improving communication and assessment processes.

Assessment

- **Short-answer questions**: Explain improvements in National 3 and 4 credibility.
- **Case study analysis**: Evaluate the role of Depute Principal Assessors in quality assurance.
- **Essay**: Discuss how SQA can improve engagement with parents and carers.
- **Presentation**: Propose strategies for modernising assessments in line with societal changes.

Would you like me to **design sample exam-style questions with mark allocations** (like the Advanced Higher Engineering Science past papers) specifically for this course content? That way, learners can practice applying these ideas in assessment conditions.

You said

Accessible summary_ Exploring Perspectives on Nati.txt

Plain Text

assessment question answer marks

Copilot said

Here's a set of **assessment-style questions with answers and mark allocations** based on the accessible summary of *Exploring Perspectives on National Qualification Marker Recruitment, Retention and Experiences* and the role of Depute Principal Assessors:

📝 Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks

Q1. Stakeholder Engagement (6 marks)

(a) Describe one improvement in engagement scores among classroom teachers. (3 marks) (b) Explain why these improvements are significant for SQA's transformation. (3 marks)

Answer: (a) Engagement scores among classroom teachers improved from a baseline of 2.56 to 3.97 out of 10. (3 marks) (b) This is significant because teachers previously felt unheard, and improved engagement shows SQA is rebuilding trust and ensuring the "voice of the staffroom" shapes qualifications. (3 marks)

Q2. Qualification Credibility (8 marks)

(a) State the credibility scores for Highers and National 4s in 2024. (4 marks) (b) Discuss why credibility of qualifications is central to SQA's mission. (4 marks)

Answer: (a) Highers rose from 87% in 2023 to 92% in 2024; National 4s rose from 42% to 59%. (4 marks) (b) Credibility ensures qualifications are trusted by learners, educators, and employers. Without credibility, qualifications lose value in education and the wider economy. (4 marks)

Q3. Parents and Carers (6 marks)

What challenge did SQA face with parents and carers in 2024, and what action plan was proposed?

Answer: Consultation and engagement scores decreased from 7.29 to 6.29. SQA is developing an action plan to improve communication and engagement with this critical group. (6 marks)

Q4. Depute Principal Assessor Role (10 marks)

(a) Outline two key duties of a Depute Principal Assessor (DPA). (4 marks) (b) Explain how DPAs contribute to quality assurance in national assessments. (6 marks)

Answer: (a) Duties include leading the production and quality assurance of externally set course assessments, and supporting the marking programme. (4 marks) (b) DPAs ensure assessments meet national standards, oversee marking consistency, and provide feedback reports, thereby maintaining fairness and reliability in qualifications. (6 marks)

Q5. Future Directions (10 marks)

Discuss two strategic commitments in SQA's *Prospectus for Change* and explain how they support the transition to Qualifications Scotland.

Answer:

- Resetting relationships with stakeholders: builds trust and ensures voices of teachers, learners, and parents are heard. (5 marks)
- Modernising assessments: aligns qualifications with societal and economic changes, making them more relevant and future-focused. (5 marks)

✅ Marking Breakdown

- Short factual recall: 2–4 marks.
- Explanation/analysis: 3–6 marks.
- Extended discussion: 8–10 marks.

📘 Course Title

Assessment Quality and Marker Experience in National Qualifications

Course Overview

This course examines the Scottish Qualifications Authority's (SQA) research into marker recruitment, retention, and experiences. Learners will explore the challenges faced by markers, the benefits of marking, and the action plan designed to improve recruitment and retention. The course also considers the broader implications for assessment quality and stakeholder trust in national qualifications.

Lesson Plan Topics

1. Introduction to Marker Roles

- Role of markers in National Qualifications.
- Importance of consistent marking standards.
- Overview of Principal Assessor and Depute Principal Assessor responsibilities.

2. Research Findings (2025 Survey)

- Survey of 768 markers and 557 non-markers.
- Benefits identified: enhanced understanding of national standards, networking opportunities.
- Challenges: low pay, workload, tax implications, online marking, unclear standards, lack of support.
- Reasons educators choose not to mark.

3. Recruitment and Retention Issues

- Decline in marker availability across subjects.
- Increase in unmarked scripts.
- Comparison with other awarding bodies: lower pay but similar processes and support.

4. Action Plan for Improvement

- Increase marker pay to improve retention.
- Ensure consistent processes across marking teams.
- Provide more training and support for new markers.
- Promote benefits of marking through case studies, videos, and partnerships with GTCS and Education Scotland.

5. Broader Implications

- Impact of marker experiences on qualification credibility.
- Relationship between assessment quality and stakeholder trust.
- How improvements align with SQA's *Prospectus for Change* and transition to Qualifications Scotland.

Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, learners will be able to:

- Analyse the challenges and benefits of being an SQA marker.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of SQA's action plan for recruitment and retention.
- Understand the role of assessors in maintaining national standards.
- Propose strategies to strengthen assessment quality and stakeholder confidence.

Assessment

- **Short-answer questions**: Identify two challenges faced by markers.
- **Case study analysis**: Evaluate the effectiveness of SQA's action plan.
- **Essay**: Discuss how marker experiences influence qualification credibility.
- **Presentation**: Propose additional strategies to improve recruitment and retention.

Would you like me to **design a mock exam paper (worth 50 marks)** using these topics, with structured questions and mark allocations, similar to the Advanced Higher past papers?

📝 Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks

Q1. Marker Recruitment Challenges (6 marks)

(a) Identify two main challenges faced by SQA in recruiting and retaining markers. (4 marks) (b) Explain why these challenges are significant for assessment delivery. (2 marks)

Answer: (a) Challenges include low pay, high workload, tax implications, unclear standards, and limited support. (4 marks) (b) These issues are significant because they reduce marker availability, leading to unmarked scripts and delays in assessment delivery. (2 marks)

Q2. Benefits of Marking (6 marks)

What benefits did markers report from participating in National Qualification marking?

Answer:

- Enhanced understanding of the national standard. (3 marks)
- Opportunities to network with teachers across Scotland. (3 marks)

Q3. Action Plan for Improvement (10 marks)

(a) State two measures proposed by SQA to improve marker retention. (4 marks) (b) Discuss how promoting the benefits of marking could help recruitment. (6 marks)

Answer: (a) Measures include increasing pay and ensuring consistent processes across marking teams. (4 marks) (b) Promoting benefits such as professional development, networking, and deeper understanding of standards can attract new markers by highlighting the value of the role beyond financial incentives. (6 marks)

Q4. Comparison with Other Awarding Bodies (6 marks)

What did the research reveal about SQA compared to other awarding bodies?

Answer: SQA's pay was found to be lower, but communication, processes, and support were similar to those of other awarding bodies. (6 marks)

Q5. Strategic Implications (12 marks)

Discuss how improving marker recruitment and retention supports SQA's broader strategic commitments in the *Prospectus for Change*.

Answer:

- Resetting relationships with stakeholders: stronger engagement with teachers and markers builds trust. (4 marks)
- Harnessing technology: online training and support can streamline marking processes. (4 marks)
- Modernising assessments: reliable marking ensures credibility and fairness, aligning qualifications with societal needs. (4 marks)

✅ Marking Breakdown

- Short factual recall: 2–4 marks.
- Explanation/analysis: 3–6 marks.
- Extended discussion: 8–12 marks.

Would you like me to **compile these into a full 50-mark mock exam paper** (with structured sections A & B, similar to Advanced Higher exam style), so learners can practice under timed conditions?

📘 Course Title

Engineering Science (National 4 to Advanced Higher)

Course Overview

Engineering Science develops learners' understanding of engineering concepts and their application in solving real-world problems. The course integrates mechanical, electrical, electronic, and systems engineering with mathematics and science, preparing learners for further study or careers in engineering and technology.

Lesson Plan Topics

1. Introduction to Engineering Science

- Role of engineering in society.
- Overview of mechanical, electrical, and electronic systems.
- Importance of sustainability and innovation.

2. Core Concepts

- Energy transfer and efficiency.
- Forces, motion, and materials.
- Electrical principles: circuits, power, and safety.
- Control systems and feedback.

3. Applied Mathematics in Engineering

- Algebra, calculus, and trigonometry in engineering contexts.
- Mathematical modelling of systems.
- Use of vectors and matrices in analysis.

4. Mechanical Engineering Principles

- Stress, strain, and material properties.
- Dynamics and kinematics.
- Thermodynamics and fluid mechanics.

5. Electrical & Electronic Engineering

- AC/DC circuit analysis.
- Three-phase systems.
- Power electronics and motor drives.
- Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs).

6. Systems & Control

- Sensors and instrumentation.
- Control theory and applications.
- Simulation and modelling of engineering systems.

7. Engineering Quality & Safety

- Inspection and testing of installations.
- Hazardous environments and safety standards.
- Quality assurance in engineering practice.

8. Assessment Preparation

- Practice with past papers (2022–2025).
- Review of specimen papers and marking instructions.
- Exam technique: showing full working, structured responses, correct use of units.

Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, learners will be able to:

- Apply scientific and mathematical principles to engineering problems.
- Analyse and design mechanical, electrical, and electronic systems.
- Use control systems and instrumentation effectively.
- Evaluate engineering solutions with respect to safety, efficiency, and sustainability.
- Demonstrate exam readiness through practice with past papers and marking schemes.

Assessment

- **Short-answer questions**: Recall key principles.
- **Problem-solving tasks**: Apply mathematics and engineering concepts.
- **Extended responses**: Analyse systems and propose solutions.
- **Exam practice**: Past paper questions with marking instructions.

Would you like me to **map specific past paper questions (2022–2025)** directly to these topics, so learners can practice exam-style questions aligned with each unit?

📝 Assessment Questions, Answers & Marks

Q1. Energy & Efficiency (6 marks)

A motor outputs 4.5 kW of mechanical power while consuming 6 kW of electrical input. (a) Calculate the efficiency of the motor. (3 marks) (b) Explain why efficiency is an important consideration in engineering design. (3 marks)

Answer: (a) Efficiency = OutputInput×100=4.56×100=75%. (3 marks) (b) Efficiency reduces energy waste, lowers costs, and improves sustainability in engineering systems. (3 marks)

Q2. Mechanical Engineering Principles (8 marks)

A steel beam of length 2 m and cross-sectional area 500 mm² is subjected to a tensile force of 50 kN. (a) Calculate the stress in the beam. (3 marks) (b) If Young's modulus of steel

---

Part 1: SQA Qualification Development Framework

The SQA provides a structured toolkit for centers (colleges and training providers) to develop and validate Group Awards, including HNCs, HNDs, and National Certificates.

1.1 The Development Cycle

The SQA manages a six-stage lifecycle to ensure qualifications meet economic and social needs:

1. **Gateway:** Establishing the need for a qualification via a Development Opportunity Form.
2. **Develop:** Designing the structure, Units, and Assessment Support Packs (ASPs).
3. **Validate:** Independent review of the qualification's fitness for purpose.
4. **Operationalise:** System coding and inclusion in the Awards Processing System (APS).
5. **Promote:** Cataloging and marketing the qualification.
6. **Maintain/Review:** Continuous monitoring and annual lifespan reviews.

1.2 Development Classifications

Following regionalization, developments are categorized by their scope:

- **National Development:** High-uptake, SQA-managed, and resourced.
- **Local Development:** Center-managed, addressing niche or local markets, with SQA retaining Unit validation ownership.

1.3 Work-Based Learning Model

The SQA categorizes learning into three distinct pathways:

- **Work Preparation:** General workplace skills (e.g., Skills for Work).
- **Work-Related:** Skills for specific jobs (e.g., HNC/HND).
- **Workplace:** On-the-job assessment (e.g., SVQs and Apprenticeships).

Part 2: SQA at Source Code Level (Academic Research)

Research conducted at the Harbin Institute of Technology proposes a pedagogical model to shift quality assurance from late-stage testing to the early development phase (Source Code Level).

2.1 The "Two Buses, One Thread" Model

The model relies on three pillars to eliminate defects:

1. **Coding Standards:** A "quality bus" that enforces naming, layout, and comment rules.
2. **Code Optimization:** A "quality bus" providing guidance on efficiency and reliability.
3. **Code Review:** The "thread" traversing the process, divided into **Self-Review**, **Peer Code Review (PCR)**, and **Tutor Review**.

2.2 Key Findings on Coding Standards

Research indicates that students often struggle with compliance due to a lack of consistent feedback. To address this, an **AHP-based evaluation index system** was developed:

- **Layout & Naming:** Fundamental for readability.
- **Comments & Coding:** Crucial for maintainability and logic.
- **Weighted Metrics:** Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to assign numerical importance to different coding behaviors.

2.3 Peer Code Review (PCR) Challenges

While PCR is effective for defect removal, "conspiracy issues" can arise in educational settings:

- **Author-led review:** The author performs the review instead of the reviewer.
- **Private Pre-reviews:** Students cleaning code before the formal process.
- **Plagiarism:** Minor modifications of high-performing students' code.

Proposed Solutions:

- **Double-Blind Review:** Partners remain anonymous to prevent collusion.
- **Random Grouping:** Preventing fixed pairs from becoming complacent.
- **Web-based MIS:** Using a Management Information System to track submissions and feedback transparently.

Summary Comparison

Feature	SQA (Scottish Qualifications Authority)	SQA@Source (Software Quality Assurance)
Primary Focus	Institutional accreditation and standards.	Code-level defect prevention.
Primary Actors	SQA, Colleges, Employers.	Students, Developers, Tutors.
Key Output	Validated Group Awards (HND, SVQ).	Zero-defect source code.
Quality Mechanism	Validation Panels and Audits.	PCR and Static Analysis.

Would you like me to create a detailed summary of the **AHP-based evaluation indices** used for the coding standards section?

Gemini said

This report synthesizes information regarding the **Scottish Qualifications Authority (SQA)** development toolkit and academic research into **Software Quality Assurance (SQA) at the source code level**.

The first section outlines the administrative framework for vocational qualification development in Scotland, while the second section explores a pedagogical model for improving software engineering education through coding standards and peer review.

Part 1: SQA Qualification Development Framework

The SQA provides a structured toolkit for centers (colleges and training providers) to develop and validate Group Awards, including HNCs, HNDs, and National Certificates.

1.1 The Development Cycle

The SQA manages a six-stage lifecycle to ensure qualifications meet economic and social needs:

1. **Gateway:** Establishing the need for a qualification via a Development Opportunity Form.
2. **Develop:** Designing the structure, Units, and Assessment Support Packs (ASPs).
3. **Validate:** Independent review of the qualification's fitness for purpose.
4. **Operationalise:** System coding and inclusion in the Awards Processing System (APS).
5. **Promote:** Cataloging and marketing the qualification.
6. **Maintain/Review:** Continuous monitoring and annual lifespan reviews.

1.2 Development Classifications

Following regionalization, developments are categorized by their scope:

- **National Development:** High-uptake, SQA-managed, and resourced.
- **Local Development:** Center-managed, addressing niche or local markets, with SQA retaining Unit validation ownership.

1.3 Work-Based Learning Model

The SQA categorizes learning into three distinct pathways:

- **Work Preparation:** General workplace skills (e.g., Skills for Work).
- **Work-Related:** Skills for specific jobs (e.g., HNC/HND).
- **Workplace:** On-the-job assessment (e.g., SVQs and Apprenticeships).

Part 2: SQA at Source Code Level (Academic Research)

Research conducted at the Harbin Institute of Technology proposes a pedagogical model to shift quality assurance from late-stage testing to the early development phase (Source Code Level).

2.1 The "Two Buses, One Thread" Model

The model relies on three pillars to eliminate defects:

1. **Coding Standards:** A "quality bus" that enforces naming, layout, and comment rules.
2. **Code Optimization:** A "quality bus" providing guidance on efficiency and reliability.
3. **Code Review:** The "thread" traversing the process, divided into **Self-Review**, **Peer Code Review (PCR)**, and **Tutor Review**.

2.2 Key Findings on Coding Standards

Research indicates that students often struggle with compliance due to a lack of consistent feedback. To address this, an **AHP-based evaluation index system** was developed:

- **Layout & Naming:** Fundamental for readability.
- **Comments & Coding:** Crucial for maintainability and logic.
- **Weighted Metrics:** Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to assign numerical importance to different coding behaviors.

2.3 Peer Code Review (PCR) Challenges

While PCR is effective for defect removal, "conspiracy issues" can arise in educational settings:

- **Author-led review:** The author performs the review instead of the reviewer.
- **Private Pre-reviews:** Students cleaning code before the formal process.
- **Plagiarism:** Minor modifications of high-performing students' code.

Proposed Solutions:

- **Double-Blind Review:** Partners remain anonymous to prevent collusion.
- **Random Grouping:** Preventing fixed pairs from becoming complacent.
- **Web-based MIS:** Using a Management Information System to track submissions and feedback transparently.

Summary Comparison

Feature	SQA (Scottish Qualifications Authority)	SQA@Source (Software Quality Assurance)
Primary Focus	Institutional accreditation and standards.	Code-level defect prevention.
Primary Actors	SQA, Colleges, Employers.	Students, Developers, Tutors.

Feature	SQA (Scottish Qualifications Authority)	SQA@Source (Software Quality Assurance)
Key Output	Validated Group Awards (HND, SVQ).	Zero-defect source code.
Quality Mechanism	Validation Panels and Audits.	PCR and Static Analysis.

Would you like me to create a detailed summary of the **AHP-based evaluation indices** used for the coding standards section?

This report synthesizes the provided documentation on the **Scottish Qualifications Authority (SQA)** Development Toolkit and a specialized academic study on **Software Quality Assurance (SQA) at the Source Code Level**.

Part 1: SQA Qualification Development Framework

The SQA provides a comprehensive toolkit for centers to develop, validate, and maintain various "Group Awards" (HNCs, HNDs, NPAs, etc.).

1.1 The Qualification Development Cycle

The SQA follows a structured lifecycle to ensure qualifications meet Scotland's economic and social needs.

1. **Gateway:** Establishing the need for a qualification.
2. **Develop:** Creating content, structure, and Assessment Support Packs (ASPs).
3. **Validate:** Ensuring the qualification is fit for purpose.
4. **Operationalise:** Inclusion on SQA systems (APS).
5. **Promote:** Cataloging and searching via the NQ or HN catalogs.
6. **Maintain & Review:** Ongoing annual reviews and lifespan management.

1.2 Types of Development

Following college regionalization, developments are classified into two main streams:

- **National Development:** SQA managed and resourced; high-uptake consortium developments.
- **Local Development:** Centre managed; unique to local or niche markets (SQA retains Unit validation).

1.3 Work-Based Learning Model

The SQA identifies three distinct types of work-based learning:

- **Work Preparation:** Awards and "Skills for Work" (learning *about* work).
- **Work-Related:** HNCs, HNDs, and NPAs (developing skills *for* employment).
- **Workplace:** SVQs and Apprenticeships (learning and assessment *on the job*).

Part 2: Research on SQA at Source Code Level

Research from the Harbin Institute of Technology proposes a pedagogical model to improve software quality through process-oriented SQA, specifically targeting the coding phase rather than just the testing phase.

2.1 The "Two Buses, One Thread" Model

The research suggests a model based on:

- **Two Quality Buses:** Coding Standards and Code Optimization.
- **One Thread:** Code Review (Self-review, Peer review, and Tutor review).

2.2 Evaluation of Coding Standards

To measure student compliance, the researchers used an **Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)** to create a weighted evaluation system.

Category	Key Indices	Weight Example
Layout	Indentation, Spacing, Line length	~2.5% to 6.0%
Naming	Variable names, Class names, Meaningful identifiers	~2.3% to 6.2%
Comment	Header comments, Logic explanation, Detail	**High (Up to 11.8%)**
Coding	Logic complexity, Error handling	~3.6% to 8.9%

Export to Sheets

2.3 Peer Code Review (PCR) Refinement

The study refined the PCR process into six phases: **Write, Submit, Review, Feedback, Revise, and Quality Assurance.**

Key Findings on Student Behavior:

- **Conspiracy Issues:** Authors sometimes reviewed their own code or performed "private" reviews to hide defects before the formal process.
- **The "Game Theory" Problem:** PCR is a "3-party repeatable game" where ethical issues and personality factors determine success.
- **Recommended Strategies:** Implementing "Double Blind Reviews" and "Random Grouping" to minimize collusion and improve objectivity.

Summary Comparison

Aspect	SQA (Scottish Authority)	SQA@Source (Technical Research)
Context	Institutional/Vocational Framework	Academic/Software Engineering
Focus	National Qualification Standards	Source Code Defect Elimination
Validation	External Panel/Devolved Authority	Peer Code Review / Static Analysis
Main Goal	Workforce Readiness	Software Quality & Optimization

Export to Sheets

Would you like me to focus on a specific phase of the **SQA development cycle** or provide more detail on the **static analysis** techniques mentioned in the research?

Delivering and Marking SQA Advanced Qualification Graded Units

🎯 Course Description

This course prepares lecturers and assessors to deliver, supervise, and mark SQA Advanced Qualification Graded Units. It emphasizes fairness, consistency, and alignment with SQA standards, while equipping participants with practical strategies for supporting learners, applying marking criteria, and ensuring quality assurance.

📂 Content Summary

- **Introduction to Graded Units**
  - Purpose and role in SQA Advanced Qualifications
  - Types of graded units (exam, project, investigation)
- **Delivery Strategies**
  - Supporting learners while maintaining independence
  - Managing timelines and milestones
- **Marking and Assessment**
  - Applying SQA marking schemes

    - Ensuring validity, reliability, and fairness
- **Quality Assurance**
    - Internal and external verification
    - Maintaining consistency across assessors
- **Feedback and Reflection**
    - Providing constructive learner feedback
    - Continuous improvement in assessment practice

📓 Lesson Plan (Sample Module)

Lesson Title: Effective Marking of Graded Units

- **Learning Objectives:**
    - Understand SQA marking criteria
    - Apply standardized marking practices
    - Identify and resolve common marking challenges
- **Activities:**
    - Case study analysis of sample graded unit submissions
    - Group exercise: Apply criteria to anonymized scripts
    - Discussion: Handling borderline cases fairly
- **Resources:**
    - SQA guidelines and marking schemes
    - Sample learner submissions
    - Verification checklists
- **Assessment:**
    - Learners mark a sample graded unit and justify decisions
    - Peer review of marking consistency

📚 Topics & Outcomes

- **Topic 1:** Role of Graded Units → *Outcome:* Explain the purpose and types of graded units
- **Topic 2:** Delivery Strategies → *Outcome:* Plan learner support while maintaining independence
- **Topic 3:** Marking → *Outcome:* Apply SQA criteria consistently
- **Topic 4:** Quality Assurance → *Outcome:* Demonstrate understanding of verification processes
- **Topic 5:** Feedback → *Outcome:* Provide constructive, actionable feedback

🔍 Analysis

- **Strengths:**
    - Builds assessor confidence and consistency
    - Ensures fairness and credibility in learner achievement

- **Challenges:**
  - Balancing learner independence with guidance
  - Achieving reliability across multiple assessors and contexts

✅ This template can be **replicated for any course**: just swap the title, description, topics, and outcomes.

Would you like me to **create a blank reusable template** (without the SQA example) so you can fill it in for different courses, or keep building out this specific one in more detail?

Great — now let's add **assessment questions and model answers** to this framework so it becomes a complete training resource. These can be used for formative practice or summative evaluation of participants in the course *Delivering and Marking SQA Advanced Qualification Graded Units*.

📝 Sample Assessment Questions & Answers

Section 1: Introduction to Graded Units

Q1: What is the purpose of graded units in SQA Advanced Qualifications? **A1:** Graded units provide a measure of a learner's ability to integrate and apply knowledge, skills, and understanding across their qualification. They ensure learners can demonstrate competence in a holistic way.

Q2: Name the three main types of graded units. **A2:** Examination-based, project-based, and investigation-based graded units.

Section 2: Delivery Strategies

Q3: Why must assessors balance guidance with learner independence during graded units? **A3:** Too much guidance compromises authenticity and independence, while too little may leave learners unsupported. The balance ensures learners demonstrate their own competence while still receiving appropriate scaffolding.

Q4: What is one effective strategy for managing timelines in graded unit delivery? **A4:** Setting clear milestones and progress checkpoints, such as draft submission dates, to help learners stay on track.

Section 3: Marking and Assessment

Q5: What are the key principles of fairness in marking? **A5:** Applying criteria consistently, avoiding bias, and ensuring all learners are judged against the same standards.

Q6: How can assessors ensure reliability in marking? **A6:** By using standardized marking schemes, participating in internal verification, and cross-marking with colleagues to check consistency.

Section 4: Quality Assurance

Q7: What is the role of internal verification in graded unit marking? **A7:** Internal verification ensures that marking decisions are consistent, accurate, and aligned with SQA standards before results are finalized.

Q8: How does external verification support quality assurance? **A8:** External verifiers review samples of assessments to confirm that national standards are being applied consistently across institutions.

Section 5: Feedback and Reflection

Q9: What are two characteristics of effective feedback in graded units? **A9:** Feedback should be specific and constructive, highlighting strengths and areas for improvement, and it should be timely so learners can act on it.

Q10: Why is reflection important for assessors after marking graded units? **A10:** Reflection helps assessors identify challenges, improve marking practices, and enhance future delivery strategies.

🎯 Summative Project Question

Q11: Design a marking plan for a project-based graded unit in your subject area. Include:

- Marking criteria
- Evidence requirements
- Verification steps
- Feedback approach

Model Answer (Outline):

- *Criteria:* Knowledge integration, practical application, analysis, and evaluation.
- *Evidence:* Project report, presentation, and assessor observation notes.
- *Verification:* Internal sampling of marked scripts, cross-marking, external verification submission.
- *Feedback:* Written comments plus verbal debrief focusing on strengths and improvement areas.

This way, the **framework + assessment questions** gives you a full training package.

☞ Do you want me to now **create a blank reusable template** (with placeholders for title, description, topics, outcomes, etc.) so you can adapt it for *any* course, or should I keep expanding this specific SQA Graded Units example into a full multi-module program?

📘 Course Title

Virtual Work Experience

🎯 Course Description

This course provides learners with the opportunity to gain practical workplace skills and insights through a simulated online environment. It bridges the gap between academic study and professional practice by offering real-world tasks, industry projects, and collaborative experiences in a virtual setting. Learners develop employability skills, digital literacy, and professional confidence while engaging with authentic work scenarios.

🧩 Content Summary

- **Introduction to Virtual Work Experience**
  - Purpose and benefits of virtual placements
  - Differences between traditional and virtual work experience
- **Digital Workplace Skills**
  - Communication tools (email, video conferencing, chat platforms)
  - Collaboration in remote teams
  - Time management and self-motivation
- **Professional Practice**
  - Understanding workplace culture and expectations
  - Ethical and professional behavior in virtual settings
  - Problem-solving and decision-making
- **Project Work**
  - Completing industry-relevant tasks and assignments
  - Working with mentors and supervisors online
  - Presenting outcomes digitally
- **Reflection and Career Development**
  - Self-assessment of skills gained
  - Building a digital portfolio
  - Planning next steps in career progression

📝 Lesson Plan (Sample Module)

Lesson Title: Effective Communication in Virtual Workplaces

- **Learning Objectives:**

    - Identify key communication tools used in virtual workplaces
    - Demonstrate professional etiquette in digital communication
    - Apply strategies for clear and effective online collaboration
- **Activities:**
    - Role-play scenarios using email and video conferencing
    - Group exercise: Solve a workplace challenge via online discussion
    - Reflection: Compare virtual vs. face-to-face communication challenges
- **Resources:**
    - Video conferencing software (Zoom/Teams)
    - Case studies of virtual workplace communication
    - Templates for professional emails and reports
- **Assessment:**
    - Learners draft a professional email responding to a workplace scenario
    - Peer review of communication effectiveness

📚 Topics & Outcomes

- **Topic 1:** Introduction to Virtual Work → *Outcome:* Explain benefits and challenges of virtual work experience
- **Topic 2:** Digital Skills → *Outcome:* Demonstrate competence in using workplace communication tools
- **Topic 3:** Professional Practice → *Outcome:* Apply ethical and professional behavior in virtual settings
- **Topic 4:** Project Work → *Outcome:* Complete industry-relevant tasks collaboratively online
- **Topic 5:** Reflection → *Outcome:* Evaluate skills gained and plan career development

🔍 Analysis

- **Strengths:**
    - Provides accessible work experience opportunities regardless of location
    - Builds digital literacy and remote collaboration skills essential in modern workplaces
- **Challenges:**
    - Limited exposure to physical workplace environments
    - Requires strong self-motivation and discipline from learners

Would you like me to now **add assessment questions and model answers**

Perfect — let's build **assessment questions with model answers** for the *Virtual Work Experience* course. These will align with the topics and outcomes you've already outlined.

📝 Assessment Questions & Model Answers

Section 1: Introduction to Virtual Work Experience

Q1: What are two key benefits of virtual work experience compared to traditional placements?
A1:

1. Accessibility — learners can participate regardless of location or travel constraints.
2. Flexibility — learners can manage tasks around their schedules while still gaining professional exposure.

Q2: Identify one challenge unique to virtual work experience. **A2:** Limited exposure to the physical workplace environment, which may reduce opportunities for hands-on practice.

Section 2: Digital Workplace Skills

Q3: Name three digital communication tools commonly used in virtual workplaces. **A3:** Email, video conferencing platforms (e.g., Zoom/Teams), and instant messaging/chat platforms (e.g., Slack).

Q4: Why is time management especially important in virtual work experience? **A4:** Without direct supervision, learners must self-regulate their schedules to meet deadlines and maintain productivity.

Section 3: Professional Practice

Q5: Give one example of ethical behavior in a virtual workplace. **A5:** Respecting confidentiality by not sharing sensitive project information outside authorized channels.

Q6: How can learners demonstrate professional etiquette in digital communication? **A6:** By using clear subject lines, polite language, and timely responses in emails and messages.

Section 4: Project Work

Q7: What is the role of mentors in virtual work experience? **A7:** Mentors provide guidance, feedback, and support while ensuring learners remain independent in completing tasks.

Q8: How can learners effectively present project outcomes in a virtual setting? **A8:** By using digital tools such as slide decks, recorded presentations, or live video demonstrations to communicate results clearly.

Section 5: Reflection and Career Development

Q9: Why is self-assessment important after completing virtual work experience? **A9:** It helps learners identify strengths, areas for improvement, and transferable skills that can be applied to future roles.

Q10: What is one advantage of building a digital portfolio during virtual work experience? **A10:** It provides tangible evidence of skills and projects completed, which can be shared with employers or used in job applications.

🎯 Summative Project Question

Q11: Design a digital portfolio showcasing your virtual work experience. Include:

- A summary of tasks completed
- Skills developed
- Evidence of project outcomes (reports, presentations, screenshots)
- Reflection on career progression

Model Answer (Outline):

- *Tasks:* Completed a market research project and collaborated on a team presentation.
- *Skills:* Developed communication, time management, and problem-solving skills.
- *Evidence:* Uploaded project report, presentation slides, and feedback from mentor.
- *Reflection:* Learned to manage independent work remotely and identified career interest in digital marketing.

This set gives you **short-answer, scenario-based, and project-style questions** with model answers.

☞ Would you like me to now **expand this into a full exam paper format** (with multiple-choice, short-answer, and practical tasks), or keep it as a **flexible question bank** for trainers to adapt?

📓 Course Title

Course Assessment & Internal Quality Assurance: Awarding Procedures, Holistic Judgement, and Awarding Meetings (2017 Framework)

🎯 Course Description

This course explores the principles and practices of internal assessment and quality assurance within vocational and academic qualifications. It focuses on the 2017 awarding procedures, emphasizing holistic judgement in learner achievement and the role of awarding meetings in ensuring fairness, consistency, and credibility of results. Participants will gain practical insight into assessment moderation, verification processes, and collaborative decision-making in awarding learner grades.

📂 Content Summary

- **Foundations of Internal Assessment**
  - Purpose of internal assessment in qualifications
  - Key responsibilities of assessors and verifiers
- **Quality Assurance Principles**
  - Validity, reliability, fairness, transparency
  - Internal verification processes
- **Awarding Procedures (2017)**
  - Framework and guidelines for awarding qualifications
  - Evidence requirements and documentation
- **Holistic Judgement**
  - Definition and importance in assessment decisions
  - Balancing quantitative evidence with qualitative judgement
- **Awarding Meetings**
  - Structure and purpose of awarding meetings
  - Collaborative decision-making and consensus building
  - Recording and reporting outcomes

📓 Lesson Plan (Sample Module)

Lesson Title: Applying Holistic Judgement in Awarding Procedures

- **Learning Objectives:**
  - Understand the concept of holistic judgement in assessment
  - Apply holistic judgement to learner evidence
  - Participate effectively in awarding meetings
- **Activities:**
  - Case study: Reviewing learner portfolios for holistic judgement
  - Simulation: Conducting an awarding meeting with peers
  - Reflection: Challenges in balancing evidence and judgement
- **Resources:**
  - 2017 awarding procedure guidelines
  - Sample learner evidence portfolios
  - Internal verification templates
- **Assessment:**
  - Learners evaluate sample evidence and justify awarding decisions
  - Peer feedback on participation in simulated awarding meetings

📚 Topics & Outcomes

- **Topic 1:** Internal Assessment → *Outcome:* Explain the role of internal assessment in quality assurance
- **Topic 2:** Quality Assurance → *Outcome:* Apply principles of validity, reliability, and fairness
- **Topic 3:** Awarding Procedures → *Outcome:* Demonstrate understanding of 2017 awarding frameworks

- **Topic 4:** Holistic Judgement → *Outcome:* Make balanced decisions using learner evidence
- **Topic 5:** Awarding Meetings → *Outcome:* Participate in collaborative awarding processes

🔍 Analysis

- **Strengths:**
  - Promotes fairness and consistency in awarding learner achievements
  - Encourages collaborative decision-making and professional accountability
- **Challenges:**
  - Ensuring assessors apply holistic judgement consistently
  - Managing disagreements in awarding meetings while maintaining credibility

☞ Would you like me to now **add assessment questions and model answers** (like case studies, short-answer, and scenario-based questions) to this framework, similar to what we did for the

📝 Assessment Questions & Model Answers

Section 1: Foundations of Internal Assessment

Q1: What is the primary purpose of internal assessment in qualifications? **A1:** Internal assessment ensures that learners' achievements are measured against set standards within their institution, providing evidence of competence before external verification.

Q2: Who are the key stakeholders in internal assessment, and what are their responsibilities? **A2:**

- *Assessors:* Evaluate learner evidence against criteria.
- *Internal verifiers:* Check consistency and fairness of assessor decisions.
- *Learners:* Provide authentic evidence of competence.

Section 2: Quality Assurance Principles

Q3: Define validity and reliability in the context of internal assessment. **A3:**

- *Validity* means the assessment measures what it is intended to measure.
- *Reliability* means results are consistent across different assessors, contexts, and times.

Q4: Why is transparency important in quality assurance? **A4:** Transparency ensures that learners and assessors understand the criteria, processes, and decisions, which builds trust and credibility in the awarding process.

Section 3: Awarding Procedures (2017 Framework)

Q5: What documentation is required during awarding procedures? **A5:** Evidence portfolios, assessor records, internal verification reports, and awarding meeting minutes.

Q6: How do awarding procedures safeguard fairness? **A6:** By requiring standardized frameworks, documented evidence, and collaborative decision-making to prevent bias and inconsistency.

Section 4: Holistic Judgement

Q7: What is holistic judgement, and why is it important? **A7:** Holistic judgement is the process of considering the overall quality and breadth of learner evidence rather than focusing narrowly on individual criteria. It ensures a balanced and fair evaluation of competence.

Q8: Provide an example of applying holistic judgement in practice. **A8:** A learner's portfolio may show weaker performance in one task but strong evidence of competence across multiple other tasks. Holistic judgement allows assessors to award a pass based on the overall demonstration of skills.

Section 5: Awarding Meetings

Q9: What is the purpose of awarding meetings? **A9:** Awarding meetings bring assessors and verifiers together to review learner evidence collectively, reach consensus on grades, and ensure consistency across the cohort.

Q10: How should disagreements in awarding meetings be resolved? **A10:** Through discussion, reference to evidence and criteria, and, if necessary, escalation to senior verifiers or adherence to institutional policies.

🎯 Summative Case Study Question

Q11: You are part of an awarding meeting where one assessor believes a learner should fail due to weak evidence in one area, while another assessor argues the learner has demonstrated competence overall. How should the group apply holistic judgement to reach a fair decision?

Model Answer (Outline):

- Review the learner's full portfolio to assess overall competence.
- Discuss whether weaknesses significantly impact the learner's ability to meet qualification standards.
- Apply holistic judgement by balancing strengths and weaknesses.
- Document the rationale for the decision in awarding meeting minutes.
- Ensure consensus is reached and verified through internal quality assurance processes.

This set provides **short-answer, scenario-based, and case study questions** with model answers, making the framework practical for training and evaluation.

☞ Would you like me to now **expand this into a full exam-style paper** (with multiple-choice, short-answer, and extended case study tasks), or keep it as a **flexible question bank** for traine

Got it — you've listed a **comprehensive set of headings and themes** that look like the backbone of a course or qualification summary document. To make this usable, let me organize it into a **structured framework** with clear sections, outcomes, and rationale.

📘 Course Title

Course Assessment, Quality Assurance, and Qualification Development (SQA Framework)

🎯 Course Summary

This course provides an overview of mandatory and optional units within the SQA qualification framework, focusing on assessment, quality assurance, employability skills, and alignment with National Occupational Standards (NOS). It emphasizes fairness, inclusivity, and excellence in delivery, while preparing learners and staff for effective teaching, learning, and assessment practices.

📂 Mandatory Units

- **Total:** 24 SCQF Credit Points (core qualification structure)
- **Optional Units:** Choice of 1, worth 3 SCQF Credit Points
- **Core Skills Certification:** Embedded across mandatory units

🔑 Key Features

- Alignment with **National Occupational Standards (NOS)**
- Integration of **employability skills** into curriculum
- **Teaching and learning approaches** that combine theory, practice, and reflection
- **Equalities and accessibility** embedded in delivery
- **Commitment to excellence** through continuous improvement

📚 Topics & Outcomes

Topic	Outcome
Introduction to Awards	Explain rationale, history, and aims of SQA awards
Qualification Structure	Demonstrate understanding of mandatory/optional units
Teaching & Learning Approaches	Apply inclusive and effective teaching strategies
Assessment & Exam Guidance	Conduct fair and transparent assessments
Quality Assurance	Apply internal and external verification processes

Topic	Outcome
Employability Skills	Identify transferable skills gained through qualifications
Centre Approval Process	Understand requirements for centre accreditation
Accessible Learning Resources	Utilize tools and supports for diverse learners
Research & Development	Evaluate market trends and qualification revisions
Electrical Derivation & Applications	Integrate technical calculations into HNC/HND structures
Equalities & Inclusivity	Promote fairness and accessibility in delivery
Staff Development	Engage in specialized training and professional growth
Post-Exam Support	Provide learner guidance and feedback after assessment
Career Progression	Identify pathways for employment and further study

📝 Teaching and Learning Approaches

- Blended learning (online + in-person)
- Case studies and applied projects
- Peer collaboration and group work
- Reflective practice and portfolio building

🔍 Analysis

- **Strengths:**
  - Strong alignment with NOS and employability skills
  - Clear structure with mandatory and optional units
  - Emphasis on inclusivity, accessibility, and fairness
- **Challenges:**
  - Balancing technical content (e.g., derivations, integral applications) with broader employability skills
  - Ensuring consistency across centres in delivery and assessment

📝 Assessment & Exam Guidance

- **Pre-Exam Preparation:** Study plans, mock assessments, revision workshops
- **On Exam Day:** Clear conduct rules, fairness, accessibility accommodations
- **Writing the Exam:** Guidance on structure, clarity, and evidence-based answers
- **Post-Exam Support:** Feedback, appeals processes, and learner guidance

🌐 Support Systems & Services

- Accessible learning tools (digital platforms, assistive technologies)
- Podcasts and mobile learning resources
- Staff training and specialized development programs

- Equal opportunities embedded in qualification design

🎯 Progression Opportunities

- Employment pathways linked to NOS standards
- Articulation to higher-level qualifications (HNC/HND, degree programs)
- Skills development for lifelong learning and career advancement

This framework now reads like a **course handbook or qualification summary**.

☞ Would you like me to **add assessment questions and model answers** (like short-answer, case study, and scenario-based questions) to this structure, so it becomes a complete training and evaluation package?

📝 Assessment Questions & Model Answers

Section 1: Introduction to Awards

Q1: What is the rationale behind the creation of SQA awards? **A1:** SQA awards are designed to provide nationally recognized qualifications that align with occupational standards, support employability, and ensure learners gain both technical and transferable skills.

Q2: How does market research influence the development of awards? **A2:** Market research identifies industry needs and skills gaps, ensuring awards remain relevant, responsive to trends, and aligned with employer expectations.

Section 2: Qualification Structure

Q3: What is the difference between mandatory and optional units in the SQA framework? **A3:** Mandatory units provide core knowledge and skills required for all learners, while optional units allow flexibility and specialization based on learner interests or industry requirements.

Q4: How many SCQF credit points are required for the mandatory units in this course? **A4:** 24 SCQF credit points.

Section 3: Teaching & Learning Approaches

Q5: Name two teaching approaches recommended in the SQA framework. **A5:** Blended learning (online + in-person) and case study/project-based learning.

Q6: Why is reflective practice important in vocational education? **A6:** Reflective practice helps learners critically evaluate their performance, identify strengths and weaknesses, and continuously improve their skills.

Section 4: Assessment & Exam Guidance

Q7: What measures ensure fairness during exams? **A7:** Clear conduct rules, accessibility accommodations, standardized marking schemes, and transparent communication of criteria.

Q8: What is the purpose of post-exam support? **A8:** To provide learners with constructive feedback, guidance on appeals, and support for progression into further study or employment.

Section 5: Quality Assurance

Q9: What is the role of internal verification in the SQA framework? **A9:** Internal verification ensures assessor decisions are consistent, fair, and aligned with national standards before results are finalized.

Q10: How does external verification complement internal processes? **A10:** External verification provides independent oversight, confirming that national standards are applied consistently across centres.

Section 6: Employability Skills

Q11: Identify three employability skills learners gain through SQA qualifications. **A11:** Communication, problem-solving, and teamwork.

Q12: Why is alignment with National Occupational Standards (NOS) important? **A12:** It ensures qualifications meet industry expectations, making learners more employable and workplace-ready.

Section 7: Centre Approval & Accessibility

Q13: What is required for a centre to gain approval to deliver SQA qualifications? **A13:** Centres must demonstrate compliance with SQA policies, provide qualified staff, maintain quality assurance systems, and ensure accessibility for all learners.

Q14: Give one example of an accessible learning resource. **A14:** Assistive technologies such as screen readers or captioned video lectures.

Section 8: Research & Development / Technical Applications

Q15: How are mathematical derivations integrated into HNC/HND structures? **A15:** Through applied technical units that require learners to use derivations and integral applications in solving industry-relevant problems.

Q16: Why is research and development important in qualification design? **A16:** It ensures qualifications evolve with technological advances and market demands, keeping them relevant and effective.

🎯 Summative Case Study Question

Q17: You are part of a centre delivering SQA qualifications. A learner has completed all mandatory units but struggled in one optional unit. During the awarding meeting, assessors disagree on whether the learner should pass overall. How should holistic judgement and quality assurance processes be applied to reach a fair decision?

Model Answer (Outline):

- Review the learner's full portfolio, focusing on competence across mandatory units.
- Apply holistic judgement: consider overall achievement rather than one weak area.
- Discuss evidence in awarding meeting to reach consensus.
- Document rationale and ensure decision aligns with SQA awarding procedures.
- Verify through internal and external quality assurance processes.

This gives you a **question bank with short-answer, scenario-based, and case study assessments** that map directly to your framework.

☞ Would you like me to now **expand this into a full exam-style paper** (with multiple-choice, extended written responses, and practical tasks), or keep it as a **flexible question bank** for trainers to adapt?

Here's a structured framework built from the themes you listed. I've organized them into a **course handbook-style summary** that can be used for curriculum design, teaching, and assessment planning.

📘 Course Title

Arrangements: Advanced Mathematics in Electrical Engineering

🎯 Course Introduction

This course integrates advanced mathematical concepts into electrical engineering applications, aligning with SQA assessment frameworks and industry market trends. Learners will explore control systems, transducers, engineering software, and IT integration, while developing problem-solving, analytical, and employability skills.

🗂️ Scope and Framework

- **Mathematical Applications Aligned with Aims**
  - Derivations, integrals, and applied formulas in engineering contexts
  - Structuring mathematical concepts for practical delivery
- **Engineering Science Background**

    - Foundations of electrical engineering principles
    - Integration with SQA assessments and standards
- **Applications and Career Implications**
    - Real-world problem-solving in electrical systems
    - Employability skills and career pathways

📚 Key Topics & Outcomes

Topic	Outcome
Advanced Mathematics in Electrical Engineering	Apply derivations and integrals to engineering problems
Control Systems & Transducers	Demonstrate understanding of system behavior and sensor applications
High-Level Engineering Software (HP41/47)	Utilize specialized software for simulations and analysis
Reasoning Framework in Assessments	Apply logical and mathematical reasoning in SQA assessments
Practical Delivery & Assessment	Implement mathematical concepts in lab and project settings
IT Integration in Electrical Engineering	Integrate IT systems into engineering applications
Career Implications	Identify employment pathways and industry relevance

📝 Teaching and Learning Approaches

- Case studies of engineering applications
- Software-based simulations (HP41/47, MATLAB, etc.)
- Practical lab sessions and project-based learning
- Blended delivery (online + in-person)
- Peer collaboration and articulation pathways to higher qualifications

🔍 Analysis

- **Strengths:**
    - Strong integration of mathematics with engineering practice
    - Alignment with SQA frameworks and occupational standards
    - Emphasis on employability and career progression
- **Challenges:**
    - Balancing theoretical rigor with practical delivery
    - Ensuring accessibility of advanced mathematical content for diverse learners

🎯 Course Highlights

- Fundamentals of control systems and transducers
- Integration of mathematical reasoning into SQA assessments
- Use of advanced engineering software for analysis and design
- Extended applications: IT systems in electrical engineering
- Career-focused delivery with articulation pathways to advanced diplomas and degrees

🌐 Support for Centres

- Guidance on delivery and assessment approaches
- Accessible learning resources and specialized staff development
- Equalities and inclusivity embedded in training
- Technical and operational support for teaching staff

This framework now reads like a **qualification overview** with clear structure, topics, and outcomes.

☞ Would you like me to **add assessment questions and model answers** (short-answer, case study, and applied problem-solving tasks) to this course, similar to the other frameworks we've built?

Perfect — let's enrich this *Advanced Mathematics in Electrical Engineering* framework with **assessment questions and model answers**. I'll balance short-answer, applied problem-solving, and case study style tasks to reflect both the mathematical and engineering focus.

📝 Assessment Questions & Model Answers

Section 1: Advanced Mathematics in Electrical Engineering

Q1: Solve the integral $\int(3x2+2x)\,dx$. **A1:** $\int(3x2+2x)\,dx=x3+x2+C$.

Q2: Explain how integrals are applied in electrical engineering. **A2:** Integrals are used to calculate quantities such as charge from current ($Q=\int I\,dt$), energy stored in capacitors, and signal processing in control systems.

Section 2: Control Systems & Transducers

Q3: What is the role of a transducer in electrical engineering? **A3:** A transducer converts one form of energy into another, typically physical quantities (temperature, pressure, displacement) into electrical signals for measurement and control.

Q4: In a control system, what does feedback achieve? **A4:** Feedback compares the output with the desired input, reducing error and stabilizing system performance.

Section 3: High-Level Engineering Software (HP41/47, MATLAB)

Q5: Why is simulation software important in electrical engineering education? **A5:** It allows learners to model complex systems, test scenarios safely, and visualize mathematical applications without requiring costly physical prototypes.

Q6: Give one example of a calculation that can be performed using MATLAB in electrical engineering. **A6:** MATLAB can be used to solve differential equations modeling RLC circuits, such as LdIdt+RI+1C∫I dt=V(t).

Section 4: Reasoning Framework in Assessments

Q7: What is meant by logical reasoning in SQA assessments? **A7:** Logical reasoning involves applying structured problem-solving steps, justifying mathematical methods, and ensuring solutions are consistent with engineering principles.

Q8: Provide an example of reasoning applied to an engineering calculation. **A8:** When calculating power in AC circuits, reasoning requires distinguishing between real power (P=VIcos fo ϕ) and reactive power (Q=VIsin fo ϕ), ensuring correct interpretation of results.

Section 5: Practical Delivery & Assessment

Q9: Describe one practical lab activity that integrates mathematics with electrical engineering. **A9:** Measuring the time constant of an RC circuit, then using exponential functions to model and verify the discharge curve mathematically.

Q10: Why is project-based learning effective in this course? **A10:** It allows learners to apply mathematical theory directly to engineering problems, reinforcing understanding through hands-on practice.

Section 6: IT Integration in Electrical Engineering

Q11: How can IT systems enhance electrical engineering applications? **A11:** IT systems enable automation, data acquisition, and real-time monitoring, improving efficiency and accuracy in engineering processes.

Q12: Give one example of IT integration in electrical engineering. **A12:** Using SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) systems to monitor and control electrical grids.

Section 7: Career Implications

Q13: What employability skills are developed through this course? **A13:** Analytical problem-solving, digital literacy, teamwork, and technical communication.

Q14: How does alignment with SQA standards benefit learners' career progression? **A14:** It ensures qualifications are recognized nationally and internationally, increasing employability and opportunities for further study.

🎯 Summative Case Study Question

Q15: A learner is tasked with designing a control system for regulating voltage in a DC motor. The system must integrate mathematical modeling, simulation software, and IT monitoring tools. Outline the steps they should take and justify how mathematics underpins each stage.

Model Answer (Outline):

- *Step 1:* Develop mathematical model of motor dynamics using differential equations.
- *Step 2:* Use simulation software (MATLAB/HP41/47) to test control strategies.
- *Step 3:* Apply integrals and derivations to analyze system response (e.g., speed vs. voltage).
- *Step 4:* Integrate IT tools (SCADA) for monitoring and feedback.
- *Step 5:* Justify decisions using logical reasoning and SQA assessment frameworks.

This question bank now covers **short-answer, applied problem-solving, and case study assessments** mapped directly to your course framework.

☞ Would you like me to **expand this into a full exam-style paper** (with multiple-choice, extended written responses, and practical lab tasks), or keep it as a **flexible question bank**

Here's a structured framework for the course you're pointing to, based on the themes you listed:

📓 Course Title

Course Highlights: Fundamentals of Control Systems and Transducers *(Advanced Certificate and Diploma in Electrical Engineering)*

🎯 Course Description

This course introduces learners to the fundamentals of control systems and transducers, essential components in modern electrical engineering. It emphasizes mathematical applications, system modeling, and the use of high-level engineering software (HP41/47, MATLAB, etc.) to simulate and analyze system behavior. Learners will gain both theoretical knowledge and practical skills, preparing them for advanced study and professional practice in electrical engineering.

📂 Key Content Summary

- **Fundamentals of Control Systems**
  - Open-loop and closed-loop systems
  - Transfer functions and stability analysis
  - Feedback mechanisms and error correction
- **Transducers**

    - Types of transducers (electrical, mechanical, thermal, optical)
    - Principles of operation and calibration
    - Applications in measurement and automation
- **High-Level Engineering Software (HP41/47)**
    - Simulation of control systems
    - Data analysis and visualization
    - Integration with engineering workflows
- **Recommended Delivery Techniques**
    - Case studies of industrial applications
    - Lab-based experiments with transducers
    - Software-based simulations and modeling
    - Blended learning approaches

📓 Lesson Plan (Sample Module)

Lesson Title: Introduction to Control Systems and Transducers

- **Learning Objectives:**
    - Define control systems and explain their importance in engineering
    - Identify different types of transducers and their applications
    - Use engineering software to simulate basic control systems
- **Activities:**
    - Group discussion on real-world control systems (e.g., elevators, robotics)
    - Lab experiment: Measuring temperature using a thermistor transducer
    - Software simulation: Modeling a closed-loop system using HP41/47
- **Resources:**
    - Engineering software (HP41/47, MATLAB)
    - Transducer kits (temperature, pressure, displacement sensors)
    - Case study materials
- **Assessment:**
    - Short quiz on control system concepts
    - Lab report on transducer experiment
    - Simulation project submission

📚 Topics & Outcomes

- **Topic 1:** Control Systems → *Outcome:* Explain open-loop and closed-loop systems
- **Topic 2:** Transducers → *Outcome:* Demonstrate understanding of sensor principles and applications
- **Topic 3:** Engineering Software → *Outcome:* Apply HP41/47 to simulate system behavior
- **Topic 4:** Delivery Techniques → *Outcome:* Implement blended learning and lab-based approaches

🔍 Analysis

- **Strengths:**
  - Strong integration of theory and practice
  - Use of advanced engineering software enhances employability
  - Clear articulation pathways to higher qualifications
- **Challenges:**
  - Ensuring learners can balance mathematical rigor with practical application
  - Accessibility of specialized software and lab equipment across centres

☞ Would you like me to now **add assessment questions and model answers**

Absolutely — let's build **assessment questions with model answers** for the course *Fundamentals of Control Systems and Transducers* (Advanced Certificate and Diploma in Electrical Engineering). These will cover short-answer, applied problem-solving, and case study style tasks.

📝 Assessment Questions & Model Answers

Section 1: Control Systems

Q1: Differentiate between open-loop and closed-loop control systems. **A1:**

- *Open-loop systems* operate without feedback; the output does not influence the input (e.g., a washing machine timer).
- *Closed-loop systems* use feedback to adjust input based on output performance (e.g., cruise control in cars).

Q2: What is the purpose of a transfer function in control systems? **A2:** A transfer function mathematically represents the relationship between input and output of a system, allowing analysis of stability and system behavior.

Section 2: Transducers

Q3: Define a transducer and give two examples. **A3:** A transducer is a device that converts one form of energy into another. Examples:

- Thermistor (temperature → electrical resistance)
- Microphone (sound → electrical signal)

Q4: Why is calibration important for transducers? **A4:** Calibration ensures accuracy and reliability of measurements by aligning the transducer's output with known standards.

Section 3: High-Level Engineering Software (HP41/47, MATLAB)

Q5: How can engineering software be used to simulate control systems? **A5:** Software like MATLAB or HP41/47 can model system equations, visualize responses (e.g., step response, frequency response), and test stability under different conditions without physical prototypes.

Q6: Give one advantage of using simulation software in electrical engineering education. **A6:** It allows safe, cost-effective experimentation and helps learners understand complex system behavior through visualization.

Section 4: Delivery Techniques

Q7: Why are case studies effective in teaching control systems? **A7:** Case studies connect theory to real-world applications, helping learners understand how abstract concepts are applied in industry.

Q8: Suggest one blended learning approach for this course. **A8:** Combining online simulations (MATLAB exercises) with in-person lab experiments using transducer kits.

Section 5: Applied Problem-Solving

Q9: A closed-loop system has a transfer function $G(s)=10s+2$. Determine the steady-state output if the input is a unit step. **A9:**

- Input: $1s$
- Output: $Y(s)=G(s)\cdot 1s=10s(s+2)$
- Using final value theorem: $\lim f_0 s\to 0sY(s)=\lim f_0 s\to 010s+2=5$.
- **Answer:** Steady-state output = 5.

Section 6: Case Study Question

Q10: A factory uses a temperature control system with a thermistor transducer. The system occasionally overshoots the desired temperature. As an engineer, explain how feedback and calibration could improve system performance.

Model Answer (Outline):

- Feedback loop compares actual temperature with desired setpoint.
- Adjusts heating element input to reduce overshoot.
- Calibration ensures thermistor provides accurate readings, preventing false signals.
- Together, feedback and calibration improve stability and accuracy of the control system.

This assessment set now covers **conceptual understanding, applied mathematics, software use, and real-world case studies**.

☞ Would you like me to **expand this into a full exam-style paper** (with multiple-choice, extended written responses, and lab-based practical tasks), or keep it as a **flexible question bank**

Here's a structured framework for **Electrical Engineering CPD Courses: Key Formulas and Applications** with a focus on **CPD Topics and Calculus Applications**.

📘 Course Title

Electrical Engineering CPD Courses: Key Formulas and Applications

🎯 Course Description

This CPD (Continuing Professional Development) course is designed for practicing electrical engineers to refresh and extend their knowledge of key formulas, calculus applications, and advanced problem-solving techniques. It emphasizes practical applications of mathematics in electrical engineering contexts such as circuit analysis, control systems, signal processing, and power systems.

🧠 CPD Topics

- **Core Electrical Formulas**
  - Ohm's Law (V=IR)
  - Power equations (P=VI, P=I2R, P=V2R)
  - Impedance in AC circuits (Z=R+jX)
- **Calculus Applications in Electrical Engineering**
  - Differentiation: rate of change in current/voltage (dVdt,dIdt)
  - Integration: charge from current (Q=∫I dt)
  - Fourier transforms for signal analysis
  - Laplace transforms for control systems
- **Advanced Applications**
  - Transient analysis in RLC circuits
  - Stability analysis in control systems
  - Energy storage in capacitors and inductors
  - Calculus in electromagnetic field equations

📚 Key Outcomes

- Apply calculus to solve real-world electrical engineering problems
- Use differentiation and integration in circuit analysis
- Employ transforms (Laplace, Fourier) in system modeling
- Strengthen employability through CPD-recognized certification

📝 Lesson Plan (Sample Module)

Lesson Title: Calculus Applications in Circuit Analysis

- **Learning Objectives:**
  - Differentiate voltage/current functions to analyze dynamic behavior
  - Integrate current to calculate charge and energy storage
  - Apply Laplace transforms to solve RLC circuit equations
- **Activities:**
  - Worked examples of transient response in RC circuits
  - Group problem-solving on capacitor charging/discharging
  - Software simulation using MATLAB for Laplace analysis
- **Assessment:**
  - Short quiz on calculus-based formulas
  - Practical assignment: Solve an RLC circuit using Laplace transforms
  - Peer review of simulation results

🔍 Analysis

- **Strengths:**
  - Direct link between mathematical theory and engineering practice
  - CPD recognition enhances career progression
  - Flexible delivery (online + in-person workshops)
- **Challenges:**
  - Advanced calculus may be difficult for engineers without recent academic practice
  - Requires access to simulation software for full benefit

📝 Sample Assessment Questions & Answers

Q1: Differentiate $V(t)=5e-2t$. **A1:** $dVdt=-10e-2t$.

Q2: A capacitor has current $I(t)=2e-t$. Find the charge $Q(t)$. **A2:** $Q(t)=\int I(t)\,dt=\int 2e-t\,dt=-2e-t+C$.

Q3: Why are Laplace transforms useful in electrical engineering? **A3:** They simplify differential equations into algebraic equations, making it easier to analyze system behavior, especially in control systems and transient analysis.

Q4: State Ohm's Law and explain its importance. **A4:** Ohm's Law: $V=IR$. It is fundamental for analyzing electrical circuits, relating voltage, current, and resistance.

This framework now combines **course structure, CPD topics, calculus applications, and assessment practice**.

☞ Would you like me to **expand this into a full CPD syllabus** (multi-module with progressive learning outcomes), or keep it as a **compact training outline with question bank**?

Excellent — let's enrich your **Electrical Engineering CPD Courses: Key Formulas and Applications** framework with a more **robust assessment question bank and model answers**. This will make it a complete training and evaluation package.

📝 Extended Assessment Questions & Model Answers

Section 1: Core Electrical Formulas

Q1: A resistor has a resistance of 10 Ω and a current of 2 A. Calculate the voltage across it using Ohm's Law. **A1:** V=IR=2×10=20 V.

Q2: A circuit has a voltage of 120 V and current of 5 A. Calculate the power. **A2:** P=VI=120×5=600 W.

Section 2: Calculus Applications in Electrical Engineering

Q3: Differentiate I(t)=4t2+3t. **A3:** dIdt=8t+3.

Q4: The current through a capacitor is I(t)=5e−3t. Find the charge Q(t). **A4:**

Q(t)=∫I(t) dt=∫5e−3t dt=−53e−3t+C

Q5: Why are Fourier transforms important in signal analysis? **A5:** Fourier transforms decompose signals into their frequency components, allowing engineers to analyze and filter signals in communication and control systems.

Section 3: Advanced Applications

It looks like you've pasted a search results list for **SQA (Scottish Qualifications Authority)** courses, specifically focusing on National 5 and Higher levels.

If you are looking to organize these or need help understanding the difference between these specific subjects, here is a quick breakdown of the primary fields you've listed:

1. Computing Science (National 5 & Higher)

This is all about how computers work and how to build software.

- **Software Design & Development:** Writing code and solving problems.
- **Information System Design:** How databases and websites are structured.
- **Computer Systems:** The "under the hood" stuff—data representation and hardware.

2. Engineering Science (National 5 & Higher)

A mix of physics and practical application.

- **Mechanics and Structures:** How things stay up and how forces work.
- **Electronics and Control:** Circuitry, sensors, and programming microcontrollers.
- **Contexts:** Looking at how engineering impacts the environment and society.

3. Other Specialized Sciences

- **Environmental Science:** Focuses on the Earth's systems (atmosphere, hydrosphere) and how humans interact with them.
- **Mathematics:** The core foundation needed for both Computing and Engineering.

Quick Comparison: Which one should you pick?

Feature	Computing Science	Engineering Science
Primary Tool	Keyboard & Code	Components & Calculations
Logic Type	Digital & Algorithmic	Mathematical & Physical
Final Product	Apps, Websites, Databases	Circuits, Mechanisms, Structures

Export to Sheets

How can I help you with these results?

- Are you trying to **choose** between two of these courses?
- Do you need help **finding specific past papers** or study resources for one of them?
- Would you like a **lesson plan** or a summary of a specific topic (like "Database Design" or "Electronic Control")?

Gemini said

Since you are looking for an assessment of the **SQA Advanced Higher Engineering Science 2025** paper you provided, here is a structured breakdown of the key questions and their expected answers based on the marks allocated.

Section 1: Core Concepts (35 Marks)

Q1: Project Management (Activity Networks)

- **The Task:** You must calculate the **Critical Path** and **Float**.

- **The Logic:** Stage E (9 months) is the bottleneck. Because Stage D (2 months) and Stage E both depend on B and C, but F depends on both D and E, Stage D has a significant "Float" (7 months).
- **The Critical Path:** A → C → E → F → I (and potentially G/H depending on timings).

Q2: Mechanics (Shear Force Diagram)

- **The Task:** Draw the SFD for the beam.
- **The Answer:** You must first calculate reactions RA and RB . The diagram will show a sudden vertical jump at point loads and a linear slope across the section where the **Uniformly Distributed Load (UDL)** is applied.

Q3: Energy (CHP Efficiency)

- **Calculation:** 1. Total useful power = 305 MW×0.82=250.1 MW. 2. Using the 5:3 ratio (Total 8 parts), Electrical power = 250.1×(3/8)=93.79 MW. 3. Efficiency for electricity = (93.79/305)×100=30.8%.

Section 2: Advanced Analysis (40 Marks)

Q9(f): Electronics (Nodal Analysis - Schmitt Trigger)

This is a high-mark question (5 marks). You need to find R1 and R2 using the Upper and Lower Threshold Voltages (VUTP =5.2V, VLTP =2.7V).

- **Method:** Set up the Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL) equation at the non-inverting node.
- **The Formula:** V+ =R1 +R2 Vout ·R1 +Vref ·R2 .

Q10(a) & (b): Structures (Second Moment of Area & Stress)

- **Part (a):** For Part A (Hollow box), Ixx =12BH3−bh3 . Using B=400,H=200 and internal dimensions, Ixx =368.5×106 mm4.
- **Part (b):** You must use the bending stress formula σ=IMy . The ratio compares the bending moment when the arm is extended (M=Force×long distance) versus retracted (M=Force×short distance).

Quick Revision Summary Table

Subject Area Key Formula to Memorize

Subject Area Key Formula to Memorize

Structures σ=IMy and δ=3EIWL3 (for cantilevers)

Electronics f=2πRC1 (Wien Bridge)

Control ADC Value =Vref Vin ×(2n−1)

Mechanics P=(F1 −F2)v (Belt Drive Power)

Would you like me to provide a full step-by-step mathematical breakdown for the Schmitt Trigger calculation (Q9f) or the Stress Ratio calculation (Q10b)?

Gemini said

This is a comprehensive **SQA Advanced Higher Engineering Science** exam paper from 2025. It covers a broad range of high-level engineering disciplines, including Project Management, Structural Mechanics, Electronics, and Programming.

To help you navigate these 10 complex questions, I have categorized the core engineering concepts required to solve them.

---

1. Structural Mechanics & Materials

Several questions focus on static equilibrium and the physical properties of beams.

- **Question 2:** Requires drawing a **Shear Force Diagram (SFD)** for a simply supported beam with point loads and a UDL.
- **Question 7:** Deals with **Young's Modulus** (E) and cantilever deflection. You'll need the standard deflection formula:

  δ=8EIωL4

- **Question 10(a-c):** Involves calculating the **Second Moment of Area** (Ixx) for a hollow rectangular section and drawing **Bending Moment Diagrams (BMD)**.

  Shutterstock

  Explore

2. Electronics & Control

This section tests your ability to analyze active components and signal processing.

- **Question 5: Digital to Analogue Converter (DAC).** You must calculate resistor values for a summing amplifier where Vout is proportional to the binary input.
- **Question 6: Wien Bridge Oscillator.** Focuses on the resonant frequency formula:

  $f=2\pi RC1$

- **Question 9(f): Schmitt Trigger.** Requires **Nodal Analysis** (Kirchhoff's Current Law) to find resistor values that set specific upper and lower threshold voltages.

3. Energy & Power Systems

- **Question 3: Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Audit.** You must use the 82% efficiency and the 5:3 ratio (Heat:Electric) to find the specific electrical efficiency.
- **Question 9(b-d): Belt Drives & MOSFETs.**
  - **Mechanical:** Calculating power using torque and angular velocity ($P=T\omega$).
  - **Electrical:** Calculating **Switching Loss** vs. **Conduction Loss** in a MOSFET using the provided duty cycle and PWM frequency.

4. Project Management & Software

- **Question 1: Critical Path Analysis.** You are asked to complete an activity network, identify "Float" (slack time), and determine the Critical Path (the sequence of tasks where any delay affects the finish date).
- **Questions 8 & 10(f-g): Microcontroller Programming.** This involves bitwise logic (AND, OR, XOR) and calculating PWM characteristics (Duty Cycle) based on 10-bit ADC resolution ($0-1023$).

Since this is a 75-mark advanced paper, I'll break down a few of the core technical solutions for you. Let's tackle the tricky ones in Section 1 and Section 2.

Section 1: Selected Solutions

Question 3: CHP Plant Efficiency

- **Total Efficiency:** 82% of 305 MW = 250.1 MW (Total useful power).
- **Ratio:** Useful Heat : Useful Electricity = 5:3.
- **Electrical Power:** $83 \times 250.1 = 93.7875$ MW.
- **Electrical Efficiency:** $30593.7875 \times 100 \approx 30.8\%$ (or 31% to sig figs).

Question 5: DAC Circuit

- **(a)** Purpose of inverting amplifier: To sum the binary weighted currents and provide a positive output voltage (since the summing node is inverting).
- **(b)** Combinations: 4 bits = 24=16 combinations.
- **(c)** For Vout =10V at 1111 (5V inputs), using the standard summing amp formula:
  - Since Rf =15kΩ, RLSB (R0) is usually the largest.
  - Calculations follow the binary weighting R,2R,4R,8R.

Question 6: Wien Bridge Oscillator

- **(a) Highest Frequency:** Occurs at the lowest possible R and C (due to tolerances).
  - R=680×0.99=673.2Ω
  - C=5.6×10−6×0.95=5.32×10−6 F
  - f=2πRC1 ≈44.4 Hz.
- **(b) R1 Value:** For a stable sine wave, gain must be 3. Since Gain=1+R1 Rf , then 20k/R1 =2. **R1 =10kΩ**.

Section 2: Mechanical & Electronics

Question 10(a): Second Moment of Area (Ixx)

For a hollow rectangular section:

```
Ixx =12BH3−bh3
```

- B=200,H=400
- Assume wall thickness makes the inner b=180,h=360 (based on Part B fitting inside).
- Ixx =12(200×4003)−(180×3603) ≈368.5×106 mm4.

Question 10(g): ADC and Duty Cycle

- **ADC Value:** Input is 1.225V on a 5V scale.
  - Value=5.01.225 ×1023≈251.
- **Pulse Width (`pos`):** 251+750=1001µs (the 'ON' time).
- **Period:** The code has two delays (1001µs and 2000−1001=999µs) plus a 18ms pause.
  - Total Period = 1.001ms+0.999ms+18ms=20ms.
- **Frequency:** f=0.0201 =50 Hz.
- **Duty Cycle:** 20ms1.001ms ×100≈5%.

Would you like me to provide the step-by-step Nodal Analysis for the Schmitt Trigger in Question 9(f)?

That is a massive document! It looks like you've provided the full text for the **2025 SQA Advanced Higher Engineering Science** exam.

Since this is a 75-mark advanced paper, I'll break down a few of the core technical solutions for you. Let's tackle the tricky ones in Section 1 and Section 2.

Section 1: Selected Solutions

Question 3: CHP Plant Efficiency

- **Total Efficiency:** 82% of 305 MW = 250.1 MW (Total useful power).
- **Ratio:** Useful Heat : Useful Electricity = 5:3.
- **Electrical Power:** 83 ×250.1=93.7875 MW.
- **Electrical Efficiency:** 30593.7875 ×100≈30.8% (or 31% to sig figs).

Question 5: DAC Circuit

- **(a)** Purpose of inverting amplifier: To sum the binary weighted currents and provide a positive output voltage (since the summing node is inverting).
- **(b)** Combinations: 4 bits = 24=16 combinations.
- **(c)** For Vout =10V at 1111 (5V inputs), using the standard summing amp formula:
  - Since Rf =15kΩ, RLSB (R0) is usually the largest.
  - Calculations follow the binary weighting R,2R,4R,8R.

Question 6: Wien Bridge Oscillator

- **(a) Highest Frequency:** Occurs at the lowest possible R and C (due to tolerances).
  - R=680×0.99=673.2Ω
  - C=5.6×10−6×0.95=5.32×10−6 F
  - f=2πRC1 ≈44.4 Hz.
- **(b) R1 Value:** For a stable sine wave, gain must be 3. Since Gain=1+R1 Rf , then 20k/R1 =2. **R1 =10kΩ**.

Section 2: Mechanical & Electronics

Question 10(a): Second Moment of Area (Ixx)

For a hollow rectangular section:

Ixx =12BH3−bh3

- B=200,H=400
- Assume wall thickness makes the inner b=180,h=360 (based on Part B fitting inside).
- Ixx =12(200×4003)−(180×3603) ≈368.5×106 mm4.

Question 10(g): ADC and Duty Cycle

- **ADC Value:** Input is 1.225V on a 5V scale.
  - Value=5.01.225 ×1023≈251.
- **Pulse Width (pos):** 251+750=1001µs (the 'ON' time).
- **Period:** The code has two delays (1001µs and 2000−1001=999µs) plus a 18ms pause.
  - Total Period = 1.001ms+0.999ms+18ms=20ms.
- **Frequency:** f=0.0201 =50 Hz.
- **Duty Cycle:** 20ms1.001ms ×100≈5%.

Would you like me to provide the step-by-step Nodal Analysis for the Schmitt Trigger in Question 9(f)?

Got it — you've listed a huge set of tags and themes (years, awarding procedures, item analysis, verification, CPD, legislation, equality, marking instructions, etc.). This looks like the backbone for structuring a **course lesson plan overview** that maps out key areas across SQA assessment and educational practice.

Here's how I'd suggest organizing that into a clear overview:

📘 Course Lesson Plan Overview (Structured by Tags/Years)

1. Historical Context & Evolution

- **2012–2016**: Early awarding procedures, statistical packs, and initial changes in legislation.
- **2016/2017–2017**: Focus on awarding procedures, item analysis, script scrutiny, statistical information.
- **2018 onwards**: Accessibility, administrator roles, appointee management, and candidate performance statistics.

2. Assessment & Awarding

- **Awarding Meetings & Procedures**
- **Marking Guidance & Instructions**
- **Item Validation Trials**
- **Setting Grade Boundaries**
- **Statistical Analysis Packs**

3. Verification & Quality Assurance

- **External Verification (EV, EVC, SVQ, HNC/HND)**
- **Internal Assessment & Verifiers**
- **Structured vs. Unstructured Verification**
- **Holistic Judgement & National Standards**

4. Professional Development & Roles

- **Appointee Handbook & Management**
- **Principal Assessors, Senior External Verifiers**
- **Continuing Professional Development (CPD)**
- **Career Development & Familiarisation**

5. Legislation & Equality

- **Equality Act (2010)**
- **Protected Characteristics**
- **Victimisation, Harassment**
- **Children & Young People Legislation**

6. Technology & Modernisation

- **e-Marking, Scoris, RM Assessor**
- **Digital Diversity, eLearning**
- **Simulation, Software Development, HTML/JavaScript**
- **Business World, mycarbonimpact, Technology Enabled Care**

7. Candidate Experience

- **Candidate Advice Line**
- **Candidate Performance Statistics**
- **Accessibility & Cohort Information**
- **Commentaries & Candidate Feedback**

This overview essentially turns your tag list into **lesson plan themes**. Each theme can be expanded into individual lessons or modules, with references to the relevant year, procedure, or legislation.

Would you like me to **map these into a week-by-week teaching plan** (e.g., Week 1: Historical Context, Week 2: Assessment Procedures, etc.), or keep it as a thematic overview for now?

Perfect — you want **assessment-style questions with answers and mark allocations** built around those tags/topics. That's exactly the kind of structured resource I can create for you. Here's a sample set to show how we can turn those tags into exam-style questions:

📝 Assessment Questions (Sample)

Question 1 – Awarding Procedures (2017)

Q: Explain the purpose of awarding meetings in the SQA assessment process. **Answer:** Awarding meetings ensure that grade boundaries are set fairly, based on statistical evidence, item analysis, and professional judgement. They safeguard consistency across cohorts and years. **Marks:** 4 (2 marks for identifying purpose, 2 marks for explaining fairness/consistency)

Question 2 – Equality Act (2010)

Q: Describe two ways the Equality Act (2010) impacts assessment design. **Answer:**

- Ensures assessments are accessible to candidates with protected characteristics.
- Requires reasonable adjustments (e.g., extra time, adapted materials) to remove disadvantage. **Marks:** 4 (2 marks per valid point)

Question 3 – External Verification (EV)

Q: What is the role of external verifiers in maintaining national standards? **Answer:** External verifiers check that internal assessment decisions are consistent with national standards, provide feedback to centres, and ensure fairness across institutions. **Marks:** 5 (1 mark for each key role identified, up to 5)

Question 4 – Statistical Information (2017)

Q: Why is statistical analysis important in awarding procedures? **Answer:** It highlights candidate performance trends, identifies anomalies, and supports evidence-based decisions when setting grade boundaries. **Marks:** 3 (1 mark for each valid reason)

Question 5 – Continuing Professional Development (CPD)

Q: Give two benefits of CPD for assessors. **Answer:**

- Keeps assessors updated on changes in legislation and marking procedures.
- Improves consistency and reliability in assessment decisions. **Marks:** 4 (2 marks per benefit)

This format can be expanded into a **full question bank** across the 150 tags you listed, with each question tied to a theme (e.g., *e-Marking*, *Candidate Advice Line*, *Meta-skills*, *SCQF*, *Item Analysis*).

Would you like me to **systematically generate a full set (say 20–30 questions)** covering the most critical tags, or keep it as a smaller illustrative sample for now?

Based on the tags and exam documents you've provided, it's clear you are focusing on the **Scottish Qualifications Authority (SQA)** curriculum, specifically **Advanced Higher (AH) Engineering Science**.

To help you or your students prepare for an exam like the 2025 paper you shared, I have designed a **12-week Course Lesson Plan**. This plan balances theoretical knowledge, mathematical application, and the procedural requirements (like using the Data Booklet and worksheet completion) found in SQA assessments.

AH Engineering Science: 12-Week Exam Prep Plan

Phase 1: Structures and Mechanics (Weeks 1–4)

- **Week 1: Complex Statics & Beam Theory.** Analyzing point loads and UDLs. Calculating reactions and drawing **Shear Force Diagrams (SFD)**.
- **Week 2: Bending Stress & Moments.** Using the flexural formula $\sigma = \frac{My}{I}$. Drawing **Bending Moment Diagrams (BMD)**.
- **Week 3: Properties of Materials.** Calculating the **Second Moment of Area (I_{xx})** for hollow and complex sections. Understanding Young's Modulus and deflection in cantilevers.
- **Week 4: 3D Equilibrium.** Solving for reactions in bearings (as seen in Question 9a) using moments in three planes (x, y, z).

Phase 2: Electronics and Control (Weeks 5–8)

- **Week 5: Op-Amp Applications.** In-depth study of **Schmitt Triggers** (using Nodal Analysis) and **Wien Bridge Oscillators**.
- **Week 6: Digital-to-Analogue Converters (DAC).** Calculating weighted resistor values and understanding resolution.
- **Week 7: Power Electronics.** MOSFET characteristics, PWM (Pulse Width Modulation), and calculating total power dissipation (Conduction vs. Switching losses).
- **Week 8: Control Systems & Microcontrollers.** Writing and interpreting PBASIC/Arduino code. Handling 10-bit ADC conversions and timing loops.

Phase 3: Systems & Project Management (Weeks 9–10)

- **Week 9: Project Management.** Creating **Activity Networks**, identifying the **Critical Path**, and calculating **Total Float**.



Shutterstock

Explore

- **Week 10: Energy & Sustainability.** Energy audits, efficiency of CHP (Combined Heat and Power) plants, and the social/environmental impact of engineering.

Phase 4: Revision & Exam Technique (Weeks 11–12)

- **Week 11: Data Booklet Mastery.** Learning where to find specific formulas (e.g., belt drive tension ratios) quickly.
- **Week 12: Past Paper Scrutiny.** Practicing the 2025 specimen paper you provided, focusing on **Significant Figures** (a major SQA marking point) and units.

Key Learning Objectives for Assessment

Based on the "Assessment" and "Marking Guidance" tags, ensure students can:

1. **Identify Critical Paths:** A delay in a critical task equals a delay in the project.
2. **Apply Nodal Analysis:** Essential for the AH-level electronics questions.
3. **Use Worksheets:** Practice drawing diagrams *inside* provided grids, as marks are often lost here.

Would you like me to expand on a specific week, or perhaps create a "Cheat Sheet" of the most common formulas used in this Advanced Higher course?

Since you are looking for an **Assessment, Question, Answer, and Marks** breakdown specifically related to the SQA Advanced Higher Engineering Science paper and the tags provided (which focus on **Quality Assurance**, **Marking Instructions**, and **Standardisation**), here is a summary of how the 2025 paper is structured and marked.

2025 AH Engineering Science Assessment Breakdown

This paper is designed to test both high-level calculation and "holistic judgement" (as per your tags). The total marks are **75**.

Section 1: 35 Marks (The "Foundations")

Question	Topic	Expected Answer / Logic	Marks
Q1 (a)	Project Management	**Identify Critical Path.** Tasks with zero "float." Path: A→C→E→F→I.	5
Q3	Energy Systems	**Efficiency Calc.** Total useful power is 82% of 305MW. Use 3/8 ratio for electricity. **Result: 30.8%.**	3
Q5 (c)	Electronics (DAC)	**Resistor Weighting.** R values must follow 2n binary steps (e.g., 16k, 32k, 64k, 128k relative to Vout).	3
Q6 (b)	Control (Wien Bridge)	**Stability.** R1 must be half of Rf for a gain of 3. Answer: **10 kΩ**.	1
Q7 (b)	Structures	**Deflection.** Use δ=3EIWL3 for the cantilever model.	3

Export to Sheets

Section 2: 40 Marks (The "Advanced Analysis")

Question	Topic	Expected Answer / Logic	Marks
Q9 (a)	Statics	**3D Moments.** Summing moments around bearing A to find reaction B (Mx ,My ,Mz).	5
Q9 (f)	Nodal Analysis	**Schmitt Trigger.** Set up KCL: R1 V+ −Vout +R2 V+ −Vref =0. Solve for R1 ,R2 .	5
Q10 (b)	Stress Analysis	**Stress Ratio.** Compare σ=IMy for extended (long L) vs retracted (short L).	6
Q10 (g)	Microcontrollers	**PWM Duty Cycle.** ADC value 251. Period 20ms. Duty Cycle: **5%**.	3

Export to Sheets

SQA Marking Procedures & Quality Assurance (QA)

Based on the tags you provided (e.g., *Item Analysis*, *Script Scrutiny*, *Grade Boundaries*), the marking of this paper follows a strict process:

- **Significant Figures (Sig Figs):** Candidates lose marks if the final answer does not match the "least significant data value" given in the question (usually 2 or 3 sig figs).
- **Worksheets:** Questions 1, 2, 4, and 10 require drawing on specific grids. If the line is not "clearly identified" or is outside the grid, marks are deducted during **e-Marking**.
- **Script Scrutiny:** After marking, a sample of scripts is reviewed by **Principal Assessors** to ensure **National Standards** are being met and that "Holistic Judgement" is applied fairly to open-ended explanations.

How can I help you further with this assessment?

- Would you like the **full mathematical derivation** for the 5-mark Nodal Analysis (Q9f)?
- Should I create a **Marking Checklist** based on the 2017/2018 "Item Analysis" standards mentioned in your tags?
- Do you need a explanation of how **Grade Boundaries** are set for this specific pape

Since you are looking for an **Assessment, Question, Answer, and Marks** breakdown specifically related to the SQA Advanced Higher Engineering Science paper and the tags provided (which focus on **Quality Assurance**, **Marking Instructions**, and **Standardisation**), here is a summary of how the 2025 paper is structured and marked.

2025 AH Engineering Science Assessment Breakdown

This paper is designed to test both high-level calculation and "holistic judgement" (as per your tags). The total marks are **75**.

Section 1: 35 Marks (The "Foundations")

Question	Topic	Expected Answer / Logic	Marks
Q1 (a)	Project Management	**Identify Critical Path.** Tasks with zero "float." Path: A→C→E→F→I.	5
Q3	Energy Systems	**Efficiency Calc.** Total useful power is 82% of 305MW. Use 3/8 ratio for electricity. **Result: 30.8%.**	3
Q5 (c)	Electronics (DAC)	**Resistor Weighting.** R values must follow 2n binary steps (e.g., 16k, 32k, 64k, 128k relative to Vout).	3
Q6 (b)	Control (Wien Bridge)	**Stability.** R1 must be half of Rf for a gain of 3. Answer: **10 kΩ**.	1
Q7 (b)	Structures	**Deflection.** Use δ=3EIWL3 for the cantilever model.	3

Export to Sheets

Section 2: 40 Marks (The "Advanced Analysis")

Question	Topic	Expected Answer / Logic	Marks
Q9 (a)	Statics	**3D Moments.** Summing moments around bearing A to find reaction B (Mx ,My ,Mz).	5
Q9 (f)	Nodal Analysis	**Schmitt Trigger.** Set up KCL: R1 V+ −Vout +R2 V+ −Vref =0. Solve for R1 ,R2 .	5
Q10 (b)	Stress Analysis	**Stress Ratio.** Compare σ=IMy for extended (long L) vs retracted (short L).	6
Q10 (g)	Microcontrollers	**PWM Duty Cycle.** ADC value 251. Period 20ms. Duty Cycle: **5%**.	3

Export to Sheets

SQA Marking Procedures & Quality Assurance (QA)

Based on the tags you provided (e.g., *Item Analysis*, *Script Scrutiny*, *Grade Boundaries*), the marking of this paper follows a strict process:

- **Significant Figures (Sig Figs):** Candidates lose marks if the final answer does not match the "least significant data value" given in the question (usually 2 or 3 sig figs).
- **Worksheets:** Questions 1, 2, 4, and 10 require drawing on specific grids. If the line is not "clearly identified" or is outside the grid, marks are deducted during **e-Marking**.
- **Script Scrutiny:** After marking, a sample of scripts is reviewed by **Principal Assessors** to ensure **National Standards** are being met and that "Holistic Judgement" is applied fairly to open-ended explanations.

How can I help you further with this assessment?

- Would you like the **full mathematical derivation** for the 5-mark Nodal Analysis (Q9f)?
- Should I create a **Marking Checklist** based on the 2017/2018 "Item Analysis" standards mentioned in your tags?
- Do you need a explanation of how **Grade Boundaries** are set for this specific pape

Alison
Diploma
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
This is to certify that
Tshingombe Tshitadi
SAMPLE
Successfully obtained
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification
Date of Award

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
DIPLOMA
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
SAMPLE
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Electrical Engineering - Electrical Transformer Components
CPD CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify: 1854-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Electrical Measuring Instrumentation
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
5808-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Electrical Engineering in Theory
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
5564-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Introduction to the Electrical Trade
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
1389-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
DIPLOMA
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Diploma in Electrical Studies
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
1270-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
DIPLOMA
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Advanced Diploma in Basics of Electrical Technology and Circuit Analysis
CPD CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
3961-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
DIPLOMA
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Diploma in Electrical Technology
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
5766-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Marine Electronics - Resistance and Batteries
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
3134-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
DIPLOMA
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Diploma in Marine Electronics
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
3132-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Trigonometry in Electrical Engineering
CPD CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify: 4074-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Wiring Practice Essentials
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
4053-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
An Introduction to Solar Energy Engineering
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
3041-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

Alison
EMPOWER YOURSELF
CERTIFICATE
Tshingombe Fiston
has received this award for successfully completing the course:
Essentials of Electrical Safety
CPD
CERTIFIED
The CPD Certification Service
To verify:
5273-37876299
SAMPLE
Date of Award
Maeve Richardson
Director of Certification

AIU
Atlantic International University
CERTIFICATE
OF PARTICIPATION
This certificate is proudly presented to:
tshingombe tshitadi
For participating in the Class:
Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering

AIU
Atlantic International University
CERTIFICATE
OF PARTICIPATION
This certificate is proudly presented to:
tshingombe tshitadi
For participating in the Class:
Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering

CERTIFICATE

OF PARTICIPATION

This certificate is proudly presented to:

Tshingombe Tshitadi

For participating in the Class:

Montessori Beyond the Materials

Throughout the duration of the live class session celebrated on **09-**

N00011

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND TRAINING / DEPARTEMENT VAN HOER ONDERWYS EN OPLEIDING
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA / REPUBLIEK VAN SUID-AFRIKA

STATEMENT OF RESULTS

STAAT VAN UITSLAE

N3:ENGINEERING STUDIES (REVISED)
N3:INGENIEURSTUDIES (HERSIEN)

NOVEMBER / NOVEMBER 2023

EXAMINATION NUMBER / EKSAMENNOMMER 2100002023812 1982/11/10

TSHINGOMBE TSHITADI [redacted] FISTON

EXAMINATION CENTRE / EKSAMENSENTRUM
899993812 SHALOM TECHNICAL COLLEGE (PTY) LTD

INSTRUCTIONAL OFFERINGS / ONDERRIGAANBIEDINGE		%	RESULT / UITSLAG
4110033	INDUSTRIAL ORIENTATION N3 / INDUSTRIËLE ORIËNTERING N3	202311	
11040023	PLANT OPERATION THEORY N3 / AANLEGBEDIENINGSTEORIE N3	202311	
11040343	ELECTRO-TECHNOLOGY N3 / ELEKTROTEGNOLOGIE N3	202311	
11041263	ELECTRICAL TRADE THEORY N3 / ELEKTROVAKTEORIE N3	202311	
	**********		

EK SERTIFISEER DAT HIERDIE [illegible] VAN DIE ORIGINELE DOKUMENT WAT AAN MY VOORGELÊ IS. EK SERTIFISEER VERDER DAT, VOORSOVER [illegible] AANTEKENING OF VERANDERING OF DIE WEGLATING VAN [illegible] GEMAAK IS NIE.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS A TRUE REPRODUCTION OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WHICH WAS HANDED TO ME FOR [illegible]. I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT, FROM MY OBSERVATIONS, AN AMENDMENT OR A CHANGE WAS NOT MADE TO THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT.

HANDTEKENING/SIGNATURE

MAATSNOMMER/FORCE NUMBER [redacted] RANG/RANK [redacted]

NAAM IN DRUKSKRIF/NAME IN PRINT [redacted]

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE
CLIENT SERVICE CENTRE
2024 -02- 16
JOHANNESBURG CENTRAL

* RESULT CODES APPEAR ON REVERSE SIDE / UITSLAGKODES VERSKYN OP KEERSY

DATE / DATUM 2023/12/01

EXAMINATION OFFICER
EKSAMENBEAMPTE

60210135E

THE DEPARTMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO EFFECT CHANGES TO THIS DOCUMENT IF NECESSARY
DIE DEPARTEMENT HOU DIE REG VOOR OM IN DIEN NODIG , VERANDERINGS AAN HIERDIE DOKUMENT AAN TE BRING.
Issued without alteration or erasure / Uitgereik sonder veranderings of uitwissing

N00011

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND TRAINING / DEPARTEMENT VAN HOËR ONDERWYS EN OPLEIDING
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA / REPUBLIEK VAN SUID-AFRIKA

STATEMENT OF RESULTS
STAAT VAN UITSLAE

N1:ENGINEERING STUDIES (REVISED)
N1:INGENIEURSTUDIES (HERSIEN)

NOVEMBER / NOVEMBER 2020

EXAMINATION NUMBER / EKSAMENNOMMER 2011007434332 1982/10/11

TSHINGOMBE TSHITADI MAKANGU

EXAMINATION CENTRE / EKSAMENSENTRUM
899992880 AFRIC TRAINING CENTRE

INSTRUCTIONAL OFFERINGS / ONDERRIGAANBIEDINGE	%	RESULT / UITSLAG
8080641 INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS N1 / INDUSTRIËLE ELEKTRONIKA N1	-	
11041861 ELECTRICAL TRADE THEORY N1 / ELEKTROVAKTEORIE N1	-	
16030121 MATHEMATICS N1 / WISKUNDE N1	-	
**********		

EK SERTIFISEER DAT HIERDIE DOKUMENT 'N VERE AFSKRIF (AFSKRIF) IS VAN DIE OORSPRONKLIKE DOKUMENT WAT AAN MY ... EK SERTIFISEER VERDER DAT VOLGENS MY ... VERANDERING OP DIE OORSPRONKLIKE DOKUMENT ... SE ING IS NIE.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS A TRUE REPRODUCTION (COPY) OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WHICH WAS HANDED TO ME FOR AUTHENTICATION. I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT, FROM MY OBSERVATIONS, AN AMENDMENT OF ANY CHANGE WAS NOT MADE TO THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT.

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE
CLIENT SERVICE CENTRE
2024 -01- 0
JOHANNESBURG CENTRAL

MAGSNOMMER / FORCE NUMBER
HANDTEKENING/SIGNATURE
NAAM IN DRUKSKRIF / NAME IN PRINT
RANG / RANK

* RESULT CODES APPEAR ON REVERSE SIDE / UITSLAGKODES VERSKYN OP KEERSY

DATE / DATUM 2020/12/01

EXAMINATION OFFICER
EKSAMENBEAMPTE

52349229Y

OZ9P1841_216656

THE DEPARTMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO EFFECT CHANGES TO THIS DOCUMENT IF NECESSARY
DIE DEPARTEMENT HOU DIE REG VOOR OM IN DIEN NODIG , VERANDERINGS AAN HIERDIE DOKUMENT AAN TE BRING.
Issued without alteration or erasure / Uitgereik sonder veranderings of uitwissing

N00011

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND TRAINING / DEPARTEMENT VAN HOËR ONDERWYS EN OPLEIDING
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA / REPUBLIEK VAN SUID-AFRIKA

STATEMENT OF RESULTS
STAAT VAN UITSLAE

N4 : ENGINEERING STUDIES (REVISED)
N4 : INGENIEURSTUDIES (HERSIEN)

NOVEMBER / NOVEMBER 2021

EXAMINATION NUMBER / EKSAMENNOMMER 2100002023812 1980/11/10

TSHINGOMBE TSHITADI MAKANGU FISTON

EXAMINATION CENTRE / EKSAMENSENTRUM
899993812 SHALOM TECHNICAL COLLEGE (PTY) LTD

INSTRUCTIONAL OFFERINGS / ONDERRIGAANBIEDINGE	%	RESULT / UITSLAG
8080074 ELECTROTECHNICS N4 / ELEKTROTEGNIEK N4		
8080164 INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS N4 / INDUSTRIËLE ELEKTRONIKA N4		
15070434 ENGINEERING SCIENCE N4 / INGENIEURSWETENSKAP N4		
16030164 MATHEMATICS N4 / WISKUNDE N4		
**********		

SOUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICE
CLIENT SERVICE CENTRE
2021 -02- 13
JOHANNESBURG CENTRAL

TSHANA SOLLY MADIBA
WARRANT OFFICER
RANG
RANK
0458987

REMARKS APPEAR ON REVERSE / OPMERKINGS/SLAGKODES VERSKYN OP KEERSY

DATE
DATUM 2021/12/01

EXAMINATION OFFICER
EKSAMENBEAMPTE

55633856R

THE DEPARTMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO EFFECT CHANGES TO THIS DOCUMENT IF NECESSARY
DIE DEPARTEMENT HOU DIE REG VOOR OM IN DIEN NODIG, VERANDERINGS AAN HIERDIE DOKUMENT AAN TE BRING.
OZ9P1841_203719
Issued without alteration or erasure / Uitgereik sonder veranderings of uitwissing

Docusign Envelope ID: 2872DD67-435C-4079-A408-3DE7221E2254

Life Is On | Schneider Electric

Customer Notification

Schneider Electric, Southern Africa

From: Ahmed El-Besary - Channel Sales & Marketing VP - General Management

To: Valued Channel Partner

Date: 20th November 2024

Subject: Price increase on Power Products family announcement letter:

Dear Valued Customer,

Due to increased production costs on our MCCB family products within the Power Products division, we are compelled to inform you of a price increase on this range. As you are aware, we have maintained our pricing on this range for the last two (2) years.

In lieu of this, there will be a **6% price increase** on our MCCB (Molded Case Circuit Breakers) family products which includes **Compact NSX, Compact NS, Compact INS/IMV, GoPact and CVS**. This decision has been made after careful consideration of the current market conditions and various cost factors.

The new pricing structure will come into effect on the 1st January 2025. We understand the importance of these products to your operations and assure you that this decision has not been taken lightly. Our commitment to delivering exceptional value and support remains unwavering.

We appreciate your understanding and continued partnership. Should you have any questions or require further information regarding this price adjustment, please do not hesitate to reach out to your dedicated account manager or our customer support team.

Thank you for your ongoing support and trust in our products and services.

Sincerely,

Signed by:
Ahmed El-Besary
0D6D2FB4E37E4ED...

Ahmed El-Besary - Channel Sales & Marketing VP - General Management

Schneider Electric South Africa (Pty) Ltd

Reg. No. 1971/002841/07 - Vat No. 4370101109
9 Old Pretoria Main Road, Midrand, 1685, Gauteng, South Africa
Private Bag X139, Halfway House, 1685, South Africa
Tel. +27 (0) 11 046 1900 / +27 (0) 11 254 6400

www.se.com/za/en www.twitter.com/schneiderafrica www.facebook.com/schneiderelectricafrica www.linkedin.com/company/schneiderelectric

Internal


Evaluation of Foreign Qualifications
Quotation
Submitted
Not Submitted
COMPLIANT
NON COMPLIANT

ST. PEACE COLLEGE

THE FOUNDATION TO SUCCESS

This certify that

Tshingombe [redacted]

Has been awarded a certificate

in

Electrical

After Successfully completing the course in the following:

. Safety I
. Design Distribution Boaerd
. Sockets
. Distribytion Board

SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIEDIENS

Date of Issue: 31-10-2020

Certificate No: COM182609001

Principal

St. Peace College Certificate Authority

Academic Registrar

Completed Training: Tshingombe fiston

Title	Type	Completion Date	Score	Status
Secure Power_Virtual Certification_on demand 2021_sesion 4	Video	1/24/2024		Completed
Cooling Virtual Certificaion_on demand 2021_sesion 2	Video	1/24/2024		Completed
Technical Expert Assessment Video	External Content	1/23/2024		Completed
DirQ_GL36R00 Technical Expert Assessment	External Content	1/23/2024		Completed
Technical Expert Assessment Workflow	External Content	1/23/2024		Completed
Technical Expert Assessment GuideBook	External Content	1/23/2024		Completed
Schneider Electric Information Technology guide	Material	1/23/2024		Completed
Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC): Discover the Machines	Online Class	1/23/2024	0	Completed
Room Ventilation And Airborne Disease Transmission In A Healthcare Setting	Online Class	1/22/2024		Completed
Ecostruxure Power: Energy Modeling and Verification (SSOW): PME	Video	1/22/2024		Completed
Vérification de la facture /EcoStruxure Power: Utility Bill Verification (French)	Online Class	1/22/2024		Completed
Discover Telemecanique Sensors	Curriculum	1/22/2024		Completed
EcoStruxure Power Operation: Ch7 - Add Mechanical Graphincs and Controls	Video	1/21/2024		Completed
ASCO: Fundamentals in Technical Document Review	Online Class	1/18/2024		Completed
EBO 2023: Introduction to Docker	Online Class	1/18/2024		Completed
EcoStruxure Security Expert: Biometric Reader Integration 4.3	Video	1/15/2024		Completed
Discover Harmony XB5S Biometric Switches	Video	1/15/2024		Completed
EcoStruxure Building: LonWorks Introduction Part 3	Online Class	1/15/2024		Completed
Innovation Talk: Why Alarm Management is the tip of the iceberg- and the best indicator of a poorly performing control system	Video	1/15/2024		Completed
PowerTalks: Equipment Performance	Video	1/15/2024		Completed
EcoStruxure Building: Script Programming (Self-Study)	Material	1/15/2024		Completed
Drives: Fundamentals of Kinematics: Calculation Centrifuge	Video	1/15/2024		Completed

Gestion de la Capacité /EcoStruxure Power: Capacity Management (French)	Online Class	1/15/2024		Completed
ASCO: Low Voltage Construction Fundamentals	Online Class	1/15/2024		Completed
Migrate from Legacy Graphics	Video	1/15/2024		Completed
Physical Infrastructure Management Basics	Online Class	1/14/2024		Completed
Fundamentals of Physical Security	Online Class	1/14/2024		Completed
Schneider Electric Approved EV Installers : IT Architecture	Material	1/13/2024		Completed
Advanced Lighting Control with KNX and DALI	Online Class	1/13/2024	0	Completed
ASCO: Application of Circuit Breakers in Power Control Systems	Online Class	1/13/2024		Completed
Security Expert Transition Guide	Material	1/13/2024		Completed
Discover Wiring Devices: Technical Structure and Applications	Online Class	1/12/2024	0	Completed
Internet: 50+ Years of Innovations and Inventions that Made It	Curriculum	1/12/2024		Completed
Computer History in a Photo Album	Curriculum	1/12/2024		Completed
Digital Economy: Movers and Shakers	Curriculum	1/12/2024		Completed
Your Computer's Secrets	Curriculum	1/12/2024		Completed
Trending Digital Technologies	Curriculum	1/12/2024		Completed

Back Print

cornerstone

Version: 17.3.0.171
Powered by Cornerstone OnDemand, Inc. ©2000-2017
All Rights Reserved. Terms - Privacy - Cookies - Feedback - Change your cookie settings

Schneider Electric

Certificate of Completion

This is to acknowledge

Tshingombe fiston

Has successfully completed:

ASCO: Low Voltage Construction Fundamentals

Issued date: 1/15/2024

Schneider Electric

Certificate of Completion

This is to acknowledge

Tshingombe fiston

Has successfully completed:

ASCO: Application of Circuit Breakers in Power Control Systems

Issued date: 1/13/2024

Schneider Electric

Certificate of Completion

This is to acknowledge

Tshingombe fiston

Has successfully completed:

Discover Wiring Devices: Technical Structure and Applications

Issued date: 1/12/2024


Schneider Electric
Certificate of Completion
This is to acknowledge
Tshingombe fiston
Has successfully completed:
Gestion de la Capacité /EcoStruxure Power: Capacity Management (French)
Issued date: 1/15/2024

Schneider Electric

Certificate of Completion

This is to acknowledge

Tshingombe fiston

Has successfully completed:

Advanced Lighting Control with KNX and DALI

Issued date: 1/13/2024